

# Effect of Pine Waste and Pine Biochar on Nitrogen Mobility in Biosolids

*by* Obed Nedjo Lense

---

**Submission date:** 04-Apr-2023 01:30AM (UTC+1000)

**Submission ID:** 2054695761

**File name:** Jurnal\_3.pdf (821.24K)

**Word count:** 8301

**Character count:** 41524

## Effect of Pine Waste and Pine Biochar on Nitrogen Mobility in Biosolids

Dharini Paramashivam, Timothy J. Clough, Nicholas M. Dickinson, Jacqui Horswell, Dbed Lense, Lynne Clucas, and Brett H. Robinson\*

### Abstract

Humanity produces ~27 kg of dry matter in biosolids per person per year. Land application of biosolids can improve crop production and remediate soils but may result in excessive nitrate N ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N) leaching. Carbonaceous materials can reduce the environmental impact of biosolids application. We aimed to ascertain and compare the potentials for Monterey pine (*Pinus radiata* D. Don)-sawdust-derived biochars and raw sawdust to reduce  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching from biosolids. We used batch sorption experiments 1:10 ratio of material to solution (100 mg  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  or  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) and column leaching experiments with columns containing biosolids (2.7% total N, 130 mg  $\text{kg}^{-1}$   $\text{NH}_4^+$  and 1350 mg  $\text{kg}^{-1}$   $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) mixed with soil, biochar, or sawdust. One type of low-temperature (350°C) biochar sorbed 335 mg  $\text{kg}^{-1}$   $\text{NH}_4^+$ , while the other biochars and sawdust sorbed <200 mg  $\text{kg}^{-1}$   $\text{NH}_4^+$ . None of the materials sorbed  $\text{NO}_3^-$ . Biochar added at rates of 20 to 50% reduced  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N (<1% of total N) leaching from columns by 40 to 80%. Nitrate leaching (<7% of total N) varied little with biochar form or rate but was reduced by sawdust. Incorporating dried sawdust with biosolids showed promise for mitigating  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching. This effect likely is due to sorption into the pores of the biochar combined with denitrification and immobilization of N rather than chemical sorption onto surfaces.

### Core Ideas

- Dry sawdust reduced nitrate leaching from biosolids; moist sawdust was less effective.
- Biochar was ineffective in reducing nitrate leaching from aged biosolids.
- Biochar chemically sorbed significant amounts of ammonium, whereas sawdust did not.
- Neither biochar nor sawdust chemically sorbed nitrate.
- Sawdust physically sorbed both ammonium and nitrate.

HUMANITY produces ~27 kg of biosolids (treated sewage sludge) per person per year (Flue, 2014). Applying biosolids to productive land improves plant growth (Ronald et al., 2008) but may result in both high levels of nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) leaching (Correa et al., 2006) and contamination of the soil and food chain. The application of biosolids to prime agricultural land is still unacceptable to many stakeholders, even though many countries have guidelines to manage their environmental impacts. As a consequence, many biosolids are disposed of in landfills, into waterways, or burned. This represents a waste of organic matter and plant nutrients.

Soil degradation is a common problem in most countries. In New Zealand, thousands of hectares of land, formerly under Monterey pine (*Pinus radiata* D. Don) plantations (Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, 2010) have both low soil organic matter levels and soil fertility (Brockerhoff et al., 2005). Similarly, land affected by open-pit mining often fails to develop a vegetative cover and requires remediation. In both cases, biosolids have been used to successfully re-establish soil fertility (Daniels et al., 2003; Novak et al., 2009). However, to achieve a meaningful increase in soil organic matter, high rates (>50 t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) of biosolids are required (Henry et al., 1994). Given that biosolids comprise 2 to 5% N by weight (Daniels et al., 2001), rebuilding degraded soil can result in N rates of up to 2500  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ , which is well in excess of the maximum rates currently permitted (~200  $\text{kg ha}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1}$ ) in most jurisdictions (EPA Victoria, 2004; New Zealand Waste Water Association, 2003). Most of the N in biosolids is in an organic form, and as it mineralizes, it provides a source of plant available inorganic N that promotes plant growth with minimal N leaching. However, biosolids can also contain significant amounts of inorganic N as ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N), which can rapidly nitrify to form  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N. In aged biosolids,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N may also be present at significant levels (Smith et al., 1998). In both cases,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N may be leached. Excessive loadings of mineral N are associated with high levels of  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching, which can contribute to eutrophication of lakes, rivers, and groundwater (Davis, 2014) and thus, should be prevented.

Copyright © American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, and Soil Science Society of America, 5585 Guilford Rd., Madison, WI 53711 USA. All rights reserved.

J. Environ. Qual.  
doi:10.2134/jeq2015.06.0298  
Supplemental material is available online for this article.  
Received 22 June 2015  
Accepted 21 Sept. 2015  
\*Corresponding author: brett.robinson@cofma.cri.nz

D. Paramashivam, T.J. Clough, D. Lense, L. Clucas, and B.H. Robinson, Department of Soil and Physical Sciences, Lincoln University, PO Box 84, Lincoln 7647, New Zealand; N.M. Dickinson, Dep. of Ecology, Lincoln Univ., PO Box 84, Lincoln 7647, New Zealand; J. Horswell, Environmental Science and Research, Kaitiaki Science Centre, PO Box 56-548, Porirua 5140, New Zealand. Assigned to Associate Editor Miroslav Uchimiya.

Abbreviations: CEC, cation exchange capacity; FA, flow-injection analysis; IC, inorganic C; TC, total C; TOC, total organic C; VOC, volatile organic compound; WSC, water-soluble C.

Mixing carbonaceous substances, such as sawdust or biochar, with biosolids can offset some of the negative environmental effects of biosolids addition (Knowles et al., 2011; Daniels et al., 2001; Schmidt, 2001; Simmler et al., 2013). Composting biosolids with sawdust can reduce  $\text{NO}_3^-$  leaching (Ammari et al., 2012). The timber industry produces large volumes of wood waste, including sawdust, which is often inappropriately disposed of in wood waste piles (Robinson, 2007; Wendong et al., 2005). Provided the sawdust is not contaminated with timber treatment residues, such as Cu, Cr, and As, this waste material may potentially be used to improve environmental outcomes from biosolids-amended soils. Costs would be greatly reduced if the sawdust could be incorporated with the biosolids on site rather than being composted beforehand. However, it is unclear whether uncomposted mixtures are effective in mitigating  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching. Composting of biosolids may improve quality of organic matter, which in turn may be beneficial for soil (Bernal et al., 2009). Furthermore, composting can reduce amount of potentially phytotoxic compounds (Borchard et al., 2014). Thus, applying biosolids directly into soil may reduce treatment costs but may risk negative effects on soil health and crop growth that increase costs.

Potentially,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching could also be reduced by pyrolyzing pine waste and using the resulting biochar as a biosolids amendment. The sorptive properties of biochar are profoundly affected by the source material, the pyrolysis temperature (Glaser et al., 2002), the particle size (Kwapinski et al., 2010), and the degree of weathering the biochar has undergone in the soil (Novak et al., 2009). Steam activation of biochar can change the sorptive properties of biochar (Borchard et al., 2012). Ducey et al. (2013) showed that steam activation of biochars increased the microbiological communities in the soil. Fungo et al. (2014) reported that steam activation of biochar derived from *Eucalyptus* spp. wood increased the biochar's capacity to suppress  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions from soil.

Amending biosolids with biochar has been shown to reduce  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching from pasture by over 50% (Knowles et al., 2011) when the biochar was made from Monterey pine pyrolyzed at 350°C. Other authors using the same biochar have also reported lower concentrations of  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N in pasture soils following the application of ruminant urine (Taghizadeh-Tossi et al., 2011).

Reductions in soil  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching following biochar amendment to soils have been reported to range from 10 to 96% with results varying widely because of experimental conditions, applied N form, N and biochar rates used, biochar feedstock variations, and pyrolysis temperatures (Guo et al., 2014; Knowles et al., 2011; Sika and Hardie, 2014; Troy et al., 2014). It is unclear why biochar amendment of biosolids reduced  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching, although it was speculated that biochar could adsorb  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N or  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N, thus rendering it less available for leaching and plant uptake or that it inhibited either the mineralization of organic-N or nitrification (Knowles et al., 2011).

We hypothesized that mixing biosolids with either pine sawdust or biochar would reduce the mobility of  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N and  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N. We aimed to determine the potential of Monterey pine sawdust and various sawdust-derived biochars for N immobilization in biosolids and biosolids-amended soils.

## Materials and Methods

Soil (Lismore stony silt loam) was collected (0–30 cm) from the Lincoln University Ashley Dene sheep farm (43°39'05.82" S, 172°19'41.47" E), New Zealand. The soil is a low-fertility Lismore soil formed from gravel glacial outwash with a variable depth of silty loess deposited at the surface. The soil is well drained and has moderate to rapid permeability (Waikato Regional Council, 2011). The soil was air-dried to a gravimetric moisture content ( $\theta$ ) of 11.85% and sieved to <2 mm. Table 1 and Supplemental Table S1 give the chemical properties of the soil. Biosolids were obtained from the Kaikōura Regional treatment works (42°21'47.78" S, 173°41'20.32" E), New Zealand. Approximately 160 kg of stockpiled and weathered biosolids were collected and homogenized using a concrete mixer and initially passed through a 20-mm sieve. A 2-kg subsample was passed through a 2-mm nylon sieve. Biosolids  $\theta$  equaled 53%. Table 1 and Supplemental Table S1 give the properties of the biosolids.

Untreated pine sawdust was obtained from a local sawmill (Shands Road Sawmills Ltd) in New Zealand. After drying at 60°C to a constant weight, the sawdust was sieved to <4 mm. A further portion of the sawdust was kept moist ( $\theta = 25\%$ ), as collected. The dried sawdust was pyrolyzed at a range of temperatures for varying lengths of time to produce biochars with contrasting properties. A slow pyrolysis method was used to produce

Table 1. Chemical properties of the materials used in the experiments. Values represent the mean ( $n = 3$ ), except pH (median). Values in parentheses are the standard error. Concentrations of other elements can be found in the supplemental data.

	pH ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ )	CEC <sub>5.5</sub> cmol kg <sup>-1</sup>	Bulk density g cm <sup>-3</sup>	C %	N %	C/N ratio	$\text{NH}_4^+$ mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	$\text{NO}_3^-$ mg kg <sup>-1</sup>
Lismore stony silt loam	6.3	13.5 (0.2)	1.1	43 (0.1)	0.37 (0.01)	11.6	7.9 (2.9)	181 (10.8)
Biosolids	4.5	16.7 (0.7)	0.7	25.3 (0.4)	2.7 (0.0)	9.4	130 (7.3)	1352 (2.5)
<i>Pinus radiata</i> (pyrolysis temperature, time) A = steam activation								
Sawdust (SD, unpyrolyzed)	5.7	10.6	0.2	51 (0.04)	0.06 (0.00)	850	nd	nd
Char 350°C, 3 h	5.5	2.3	0.2	71 (0.09)	0.03 (0.00)	2367	nd	nd
Char 350°C, 12 h	5.5	1.3	0.2	72.6 (0.1)	0.03 (0.01)	2427	nd	nd
Bulk biochar 350°C	6.9	9.1	0.2	78.1 (0.08)	0.06 (0.00)	1302	nd	nd
Char 400°C A	6.2	5.9	0.1	75.5 (0.07)	0.04 (0.00)	1888	nd	nd
Char 400°C	5.9	5.2	0.2	75.3 (0.07)	0.04 (0.00)	1883	nd	nd
Char 550°C A	8.1	6.7	0.1	88.4 (0.1)	0.03 (0.00)	2947	nd	nd
Char 550°C	7.9	6.7	0.1	86.5 (0.06)	0.01 (0.00)	2883	nd	nd

nd, not determined

low-temperature biochars. A muffle furnace was used to manufacture biochars at 350°C in a low-oxygen environment (Zhang et al., 2015). Sawdust (200 g) was weighed into steel containers covered with aluminum foil. The temperature was monitored using a thermocouple to ensure the temperature of the material was maintained at 350°C. Chars were prepared with pyrolysis times of 3 and 12 h. The target temperature, 350°C, was reached at the rate of 16°C min<sup>-1</sup>. Higher temperature biochars were produced using a specialized furnace (Hina et al., 2010) equipped with a rotating cylinder of 5-L capacity. Liquefied petroleum gas was used as the heat source to pyrolyze the sawdust at 400 and 550°C. The target temperatures were reached at rates of 38 and 46°C min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Treatments were prepared with and without steam activation. Steam activation (henceforth denoted as "AS") was achieved by injecting water into the pyrolysis chamber at a rate of 4 mL min<sup>-1</sup> with an airflow of 10 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. A further biochar was also made from pine at 350°C, as previously described by Knowles et al. (2011). This biochar contained particles sizes from <1 to 45 mm and was sieved (<4 mm) and is subsequently referred to as bulk biochar. We included this biochar because Taghizadeh-Toosi et al. (2012) and Knowles et al. (2011) demonstrated that this char affected N fluxes in soil. Table 1 and Supplemental Table S1 show the properties of the sawdust and biochars.

The pH of the materials was determined in water using a sample to water ratio (w/w) of 1:2.5 following the method of Blakemore et al. (1987). Soil carbon (C) and N concentrations were measured using an Elementar Vario MAX CN analyzer (Elementar GmbH). Actual cation exchange capacity (CEC) was measured for all materials using the method described by Blakemore et al. (1987), which uses Ag<sup>+</sup> as the index cation. Extractable NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations in the soil and biosolids were determined using a 2 M KCl extract following the method of Blakemore et al. (1987) and Clough et al. (2001). Water-soluble C (WSC) was determined using cold (20°C) and hot (80°C) water extracts (Ghani et al., 2003). To measure WSC, 3 g of oven-dried material and 30 mL of cold distilled water were placed in polypropylene centrifuge tubes on an end-over-end shaker for 30 min and then centrifuged for 20 min at 2253 g. The extracts were then decanted off and filtered through 0.45-µm cellulose nitrate membrane filters. The sample remaining in the centrifuge tube had 30 mL of distilled water added before it was then placed in a hot water bath at 80°C for 16 h then centrifuged and filtered as before. Total carbon (TC), inorganic carbon (IC), and total organic carbon (TOC) concentrations of the WSC samples were measured using a TOC-5000A analyzer (Shimadzu Oceania Pty Ltd.). Total elemental concentrations were measured in acid digests using inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (Varian 720-ES) fitted with SPS-3 auto-sampler and ultrasonic nebulizer (Sinnler et al., 2013). Digests were prepared with 0.5 g of material mixed with 5 mL of HNO<sub>3</sub> and 1 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Merck hydrogen peroxide 30%). The mixtures were digested at 175°C for 20 min and diluted up to 25 mL with Milli Q (double deionized water). Wageningen reference soil (ISE 989) and plant (IPE 100) material were analyzed for quality assurance (Van Dijk and Houba, 1998). Recoveries were 95 to 108% for the elements measured.

## Batch Sorption Experiments

Batch sorption experiments were performed with all individual materials (not mixtures) using an ambient solution of 0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution containing 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> [pH 5.1 as (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>] or NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (pH 7.0 as KNO<sub>3</sub>) following the method of Wang et al. (2010). Samples (20 g of dry matter) were weighed into 250-mL centrifuge tubes and replicated thrice. Controls were also performed and had no sample addition. Then 200 mL of either the (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> or KNO<sub>3</sub> solution was added and the samples were then placed on an end-over-end shaker for 6 h. Previous experiments had indicated that this was the minimum time required for the biochar samples to equilibrate with the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> solution (data not shown). Hamayani and Anwar (2012) showed that equilibrium times for biochars in batch experiments varied from 1 to 96 h.

The effect of pH on sorption was determined for the bulk biochar. Batch sorption experiments used 10 g of bulk biochar and 100 mL of a 100 mg L<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> [as (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> in a 0.01 M CaCl<sub>2</sub> matrix]. The pH of the initial mixtures (pH = 5.1) were adjusted downward by adding 400 and 200 µL of 0.6 M HCl to give pH values of 3.4 and 4.2, respectively. The pH was adjusted upward by adding 450 µL of 0.05 M KOH or 750 µL of 0.3 M KOH to give pH values of 6.1 and 7.1, respectively. After shaking (2 h), samples were centrifuged at 2253 g for 10 min, filtered (Whatmann 52), then analyzed for residual NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations using flow-injection analysis (FIA; Alpkem FS 3000 twin channel analyzer).

The biosolids were not sterile. Thus, a test of the potential microbial activity on sorption experiment results was performed over a 48-h sorption experiment where the Lismore soil and bulk biochars were mixed with the (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution at a ratio of 1:10. Unsterilized and sterilized (using 1 mL of 5% v/v phenol) treatments were included. Samples were again shaken on an end-over-end shaker with subsamples collected at 10-min and 6-, 24-, and 48-h intervals, with all samples analyzed for both NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations.

## Column Leaching Experiments

Leaching columns (4-cm height by 4-cm diam.) with an internal volume of 50.3 cm<sup>3</sup> were filled with mixtures of biosolids (sieved to <2 mm), pyrolyzed or unpyrolyzed (sawdust) pine wood (sieved to <4 mm), and quartz sand (<1 mm). Supplemental Table S2 lists, in detail, the treatments with the masses of each material. There were three replicates of each treatment. The total dry matter in each column was 15 g. Column bulk densities ranged between 0.5 and 1.5 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. The volume of water in the columns at field capacity varied between 9.4 (sand) and 28.9 cm<sup>3</sup> (sawdust plus biosolids). Each column was irrigated daily with 5 mL of deionized water. The eluent was collected weekly and analyzed for both NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N concentrations using FIA. Columns were leached under laboratory conditions (20°C) for at least 3 mo or until the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentrations in the eluent had stabilized at levels equal to <5% of the concentrations recorded in the initial flush.

Data were analyzed using Minitab 16 (Minitab, 2010). Data sets were analyzed using ANOVA with Fisher's LSD post hoc test to compare means. The level of significance was 0.05.

## Results and Discussion

### Inorganic Nitrogen Sorption

All of the materials tested, with the exception of sawdust, sorbed significant amounts of  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , ranging from 14 to 335  $\text{mg NH}_4^+ \text{kg}^{-1}$  material (Fig. 1). However, only the biochar produced at 350°C for 12 h and the bulk biochar sorbed more  $\text{NH}_4^+$  than the soil (Fig. 1;  $p < 0.05$ ). The amounts of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  sorbed by the biochars in the current study were relatively small compared with previous reports. For example, Sarkhot et al. (2013) reported biochar produced from hardwood shavings pyrolyzed at 300°C sorbed up to 5300  $\text{mg NH}_4^+ \text{kg}^{-1}$ . Differences in biochar sorptive capacity for  $\text{NH}_4^+$  have been shown to result from feedstock type; for example, *Thalic* spp. and *Schinus* spp. have been shown to sorb  $\text{NH}_4^+$  up to 785 and 3700  $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  by Yao et al. (2012) and Zeng et al. (2013), respectively.

Biochar retention of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  is a function of the material's CEC, which besides being a function of feedstock type, is also the result of the biochar production method (Ladra et al., 2011). Specifically, the CEC of a biochar is a function of both the pH and porosity (Mukherjee et al., 2011), which varies with pyrolysis temperature. This was demonstrated by Lehmann (2007), using *Robinia pseudoacacia* L. as a feedstock, who showed a strong correlation between increasing biochar pH and increasing CEC as the pyrolysis temperature was increased, with an optimal CEC of 20  $\text{cmol kg}^{-1}$  at a temperature of 450°C and pH ~ 9. Similar results were observed by Zhang et al. (2015) for *Quercus* spp. Xiao and Pignatello (2015) demonstrated that maple (*Acer* spp.) wood biochars pyrolyzed at 300 to 700°C all had negative zeta potentials above pH 3 with a large increase in negative charge between pH 3 and pH 5.5. In the current study, increasing the pH during the batch sorption experiments also increased the sorption of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  (Fig. 2), and this is consistent with the surface charge varying with pH and directly influencing the biochar's CEC (Lehmann, 2007).

Other studies specifically measuring CEC following the pyrolysis of *Pinus* spp. at 400 and 600°C have shown CEC to range from 10 to 38  $\text{cmol kg}^{-1}$  at near neutral biochar pH (Mukherjee et al., 2011). The lower CEC values in this range are consistent with the lower CEC values for the materials in the current study (Table 1). For non-*Pinus* spp., CEC is reported to range from 0.2 to 25  $\text{cmol kg}^{-1}$  and varies with different feedstock and pyrolysis conditions (Cheng et al., 2006; Gundale and DeLaca, 2007; Lehmann, 2007; Nguyen and Lehmann, 2009; Sarkhot et al., 2013). In the current study, there was no significant correlation ( $r = 0.19$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ) between the CEC of the materials tested and their ability to sorb  $\text{NH}_4^+$ . Sterilizing the solutions using phenol addition during the batch sorption experiments showed no significant differences occurred in terms of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  sorption. This observation, and the lack of any increase in the  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentration (results not shown), indicates that microbial activity did not affect the results of our batch-sorption experiments.

The lack of any significant sorption of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  by the sawdust may be due to several reasons. Sawdust cell walls are active ion exchange sites resulting from the presence of cellulose, lignin, and hydroxyl groups (Shukla et al., 2002). However, cation adsorption onto sawdust is pH dependent, in the case of heavy




Fig. 1. Ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ ) sorbed ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  dry wt.) by soil, sawdust, and biochar from a 100  $\text{mg L}^{-1}$   $\text{NH}_4^+$  solution after 6 h of agitation. Material/solution ratio = 1:10. Bars represent the standard error of the mean ( $n = 3$ ). Bars with the same letter are not significantly different.

metals, and thus in the current study, lack of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  sorption may be due to nonoptimum pH conditions for maximum CEC expression. Another factor that prevents cation exchange on sawdust includes competition for cation sorption sites. In the current study, the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions in the assay matrix may have competed with  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and been selectively adsorbed on the sawdust (Shukla et al., 2002). The molar ratio of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  to  $\text{NH}_4^+$  in our study was 18:1. Furthermore, Harmayani and Anwar (2012) found the initial cation concentrations and extraction time also affected sorption onto pine sawdust. Thus these factors may not have been optimal in the current study for sorption of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  by sawdust. Based on these results, the chemical sorption of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  is not a mechanism that will reduce the potential leaching of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  when mixing biosolids with biochars or sawdust and soil.

None of the materials tested sorbed  $\text{NO}_3^-$  (data not shown). Using sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) bagasse as a biochar feedstock, Kameyama et al. (2012) reasoned that the increased sorption of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  with increasing temperature was the result of N-containing basic functional groups on the biochar surface increasing in number with increasing pyrolysis temperature. Wang et al. (2015) also found  $\text{NO}_3^-$  sorption increased with increasing biochar manufacturing temperature. Clough et al. (2013) reviewed the studies examining  $\text{NO}_3^-$  sorption on biochar and concluded that sorption of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  onto a biochar surface was unlikely to occur unless the pyrolysis temperature during biochar manufacture was  $>600^\circ\text{C}$ , with the degree of




Fig. 2. Ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ ) sorbed ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$  dry wt.) by the bulk biochar from a 100  $\text{mg L}^{-1}$   $\text{NH}_4^+$  solution after 2 h of agitation at various solution pH values. Material/solution ratio = 1:10. Bars represent the standard error of the mean ( $n = 3$ ).

$\text{NO}_3^-$  sorption also dependent on feedstock type. Other more recent studies, also showing low sorption of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  by biochar, have generally examined biochar manufactured at pyrolysis temperatures  $<600^\circ\text{C}$  (Gai et al., 2014; Hale et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015). Chitrals et al. (2013) showed that  $\text{NO}_3^-$  sorption of a biochar produced at  $650^\circ\text{C}$  was only significant in acidic conditions. Thus, ignoring feedstock type as an issue, the lack of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  sorption in the current experiment is most likely because the low pyrolysis temperatures in our study were insufficient for the formation of N-containing basic functional groups (Kameyama et al., 2012). Shafeeyan et al. (2010) reported that significant numbers of N-containing basic functional groups only form at temperatures  $>700^\circ\text{C}$ . Sawdust materials can retain cations, but they are not able to bind anions unless they are chemically modified (Ebrahimi and Roberts, 2013; Keränen et al., 2015; Mishra and Patel, 2009; Sousa et al., 2010; Su et al., 2012). For example, Keränen et al. (2015) modified sawdust to sorb  $\text{NO}_3^-$  using epichlorohydrin, ethylenediamine, and trimethylamine in the presence of N,N-dimethylformamide. It is therefore unlikely that chemical sorption of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  by the sawdust or biochars will reduce  $\text{NO}_3^-$  leaching.

### Inorganic Nitrogen Leaching

Ammonium N in the leachate accounted for  $<1\%$  of N applied (Fig. 3). The assumption is made that given the N content of the biochar (Table 1), the source of the  $\text{NH}_4^+-\text{N}$  in the leachate is the biosolids. When biochar materials were mixed with biosolids in the leaching columns, the biochars reduced the amount of  $\text{NH}_4^+-\text{N}$  leached when expressed as a percentage of the total N initially present in the biosolids (Fig. 3). The effect of increasing biochar rate observed with the bulk biochar treatment was to further reduce  $\text{NH}_4^+$  leaching. This is most likely a consequence of the increasing CEC, since the amount of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  leached did not vary with the bulk biochar rate applied (Fig. 4). This also indicates that increasing the rate of biochar addition did not significantly accelerate nitrification via potential liming effects, which could in turn have enhanced subsequent  $\text{NO}_3^-$  leaching (Clough et al., 2013). Incorporating biochar into acidic agricultural soils accelerates nitrification and thus, weakens the liming effects of biochar (Zhao et al., 2014).

The low-temperature biochars ( $350^\circ\text{C}$ ) reduced  $\text{NH}_4^+-\text{N}$  leaching more than the high-temperature chars ( $400$  and  $550^\circ\text{C}$ ), while steam activation did not have a consistent effect on  $\text{NH}_4^+-\text{N}$  leaching (Fig. 3). Park et al. (2003) and Shafeeyan et al. (2010) reported that although steam activation increased the surface area and micropore volume of biochar, it depleted the surface functional groups, possibly offsetting any increase in sorption capacity.

Another possible mechanism for reducing  $\text{NH}_4^+-\text{N}$  leaching declining with increasing biochar rate is microbial immobilization of  $\text{NH}_4^+$ . The C to N ratios of most of our biochar-biosolids and sawdust-biosolids mixtures (calculated from Table 1) were above 25, the value required to trigger immobilization (McLaren and Cameron, 1996). We did not measure any microbiological parameters; however, if there were significant microbial immobilization, then there would be a negative correlation between WSC (Supplemental Table S3) and the mass of  $\text{NH}_4^+-\text{N}$  leached (Fig. 3, 5). However, the hot and cold WSC concentrations did




Fig. 3. Ammonium-N leached (as a percentage of total N in the columns) from columns with soil or biochars mixed with biosolids. Number ratios indicate the ratio of mass of material (g) to mass of biosolids (g). Bars represent the standard error of the mean ( $n = 3$ ).




Fig. 4. Ammonia-N (as a percentage of total N in the columns) from columns with soil or biochars mixed with biosolids. Number ratios indicate the ratio of mass of material (g) to mass of biosolids (g). Bars represent the standard error of the mean ( $n = 3$ ).

not correlate with the reduction in  $\text{NH}_4^+-\text{N}$  leaching observed ( $r = -0.35$ ,  $P > 0.05$  NS).

While  $\text{NH}_4^+$  adsorption onto biochar can occur (Taghizadeh-Isfahani et al., 2011) the likelihood of  $\text{NH}_4^+$  adsorption occurring in the biochar material, as a mechanism for reducing  $\text{NH}_4^+$  in solution, is unlikely a result of the pH being too low ( $<7.0$ ). The pH values of the solutions in our batch sorption experiments ranged from 4.2 to 5.8.

Nitrate leaching from the column experiment accounted for  $<7\%$  of the N applied (Fig. 4) and showed few differences as a consequence of biochar-biosolids treatment. Most of the N




Fig. 5. Ammonium-N leached (as a percentage of total N in the columns) from columns with soil or sawdust mixed with biosolids. Number ratios indicate the ratio of mass of material (g) to mass of biosolids (g). Bars represent the standard error of the mean ( $n = 3$ ).

in the biosolids remained as organic N. None of the biochar treatments caused a significant decrease in  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching (Fig. 4), in fact, the high temperature biochars and the high rates of the bulk biochar caused an increase ( $p < 0.05$ ) in  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching. Reasons for the greater  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching could include greater aeration of the biosolids material, resulting in higher rates of mineralization and subsequent nitrification causing more  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching. Our result deviates from the findings of Knowles et al. (2011), who reported that the bulk biochar significantly reduced  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching from biosolids-amended soil. However, the experimental conditions described in Knowles et al. (2011) were significantly different. Their experiment was performed in the field with large lysimeters containing intact soil cores with pasture present (*Lolium perenne* L.) and thus, plant N uptake occurred.

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) may be present in biochars and sawdust (Spokas et al., 2011) and can potentially reduce nitrification (Clough et al., 2010) and mineralization. Borchard et al. (2014) demonstrated that VOCs from biochar influence N cycle and can reduce greenhouse gas emissions from soil. The fact that the  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leached as a percentage of N applied was higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) under the biochar treatments than in the biosolids alone (Fig. 4) indicates that if biochar-borne VOCs were inhibiting nitrification, then the effect was small.

Sawdust caused a significant reduction ( $p < 0.05$ ) in both  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N and  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching from both biosolids and biosolids-amended soil treatments (Fig. 5, 6). Rates of more than two parts of sawdust to five parts of biosolids eliminated  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N leaching and reduced  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching by >40% (Fig. 6). These results cannot be explained by chemical sorption mechanisms because the batch experiments revealed that the sawdust sorbed neither  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N nor  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N. Adding sawdust increased the C to N ratio (Table 1) of the mixtures, which may have resulted in microbial immobilization of biosolids derived N. The sawdust's C to N ratio of 850 is well in excess of the value required to trigger immobilization (C/N of >25:1 McLaren and Cameron (1996). The WSC extracts (Supplemental Table S3) also indicate that in the unmixed materials, C was readily available for microbial immobilization to occur. Consistent with this theory are the results of Daniels et al. (2001), who showed that adding sawdust to biosolids at a rate of 3:2 reduced  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N in soil pore water by >50%. In contrast, Schmidt (2001) showed that a 1:1 biosolids to sawdust mixture was ineffective in reducing  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching in the first growing season. The high WSC availability also raises the possibility of other heterotrophic activity, such as denitrification, also consuming  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N and contributing to the decrease in  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching observed. Schipper and Vojvodic-Vukovic (1998) showed that soil amended with sawdust will remove  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N from the groundwater via denitrification. Sawdust with a moisture content of 25% had a significantly smaller effect on  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N and  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching than dry sawdust (Fig. 5, 6). This indicates that the sawdust may have irreversibly sorbed some of the N-rich pore water from the fresh biosolids and that physical sorption may be an important mechanism for the retention of N in these experiments. Our experiments did not provide any information on the mechanisms of such physical sorption. Biochar containing some partially pyrolyzed




Fig. 6. Ammonia-N leached (as a percentage of total N) from columns with soil or sawdust mixed with biosolids. Number letters indicate the ratio of mass of material (g) to mass of biosolids (g). Bars represent the standard error of the mean (n = 3).

or unpyrolyzed material may therefore also mitigate N leaching. In this case, partial pyrolysis may be a low-cost means of drying the material. As the material weathers in the soil, the CEC of the biochar may increase (Glaser et al., 2002; Liang et al., 2008), further retaining  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N in the root-zone where plant uptake can occur.

## Conclusions

The potential for unweathered biochars derived from sawdust feedstock to mitigate  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching from biosolids-amended soils is low and the biochars may even accelerate  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching. However, pine waste and pine biochars significantly reduced  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -N mobility. Conversely, including raw, dried sawdust when amending soils with biosolids shows significant promise to limit N mobility in biosolids and potentially reduce  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching. Future work should look to better understand the reasons for this while optimizing rates and methods to achieve  $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N leaching mitigation.

## Acknowledgments

Biochars were prepared with the support and supervision of Professor Maria Camps and Dr. Peter Bishop from the Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand. We gratefully acknowledge the Centre for the Integrated Bio-waste Research (CIBR) for funding this research.

## References

- Arrarati, T.G., Q. Al-Omari, and E.A. Basim. 2011. Composting sewage sludge amended with different sawdust proportion and textures and organic waste of food industry: assessment of quality. *Environ. Technol.* 33:1641-1649. doi:10.1080/09593330.2011.641589
- Bernal, M.P., J.A. Allarzuopaco, and R. Moral. 2009. Composting of animal manures and chemical criteria for compost maturity assessment. *A review*. *Bioresour. Technol.* 100:5444-5453. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2008.11.027
- Bakauskas, L.C., P.L. Szado, and R.K. Daly. 1987. Methods for chemical analysis of soil. NZ Soil Bureau Scientific Report 80. NZ Soil Bureau, Dep. of Scientific and Industrial Research, Lower Hutt, NZ.
- Borchard, N., K. Spokas, K. Probst, and J. Siemens. 2014. Greenhouse gas production in mixtures of soil with composted and noncomposted biochar is governed by char-associated organic compounds. *J. Environ. Qual.* 43:971-979. doi:10.2134/jeq2013.07.0290
- Borchard, N., A. Wild, V. Janitz, R. Aeckerberg, H.W. Scherer, A. Moeller, et al. 2012. Physical activation of biochar and its meaning for soil fertility and nutrient leaching: a greenhouse experiment. *Soil Use Manage.* 28:177-184. doi:10.1111/j.1475-2743.2012.00407.x

- Bockerhoff, E.G., A.B. Bondt, and H. Jurel. 2005. Role of exotic pine forests in the conservation of the critically endangered New Zealand ground beetle *Haleplus brevicola* (Coleoptera: Carabidae). *NZ J. Ecol.* 29:37–43.
- Cheng, C.H., J. Lehmann, J.E. Titus, S.D. Burton, and M.H. Engelhard. 2006. Oxidation of black carbon by biotic and abiotic processes. *Org. Geochem.* 37:1477–1488. doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2006.06.022
- Chorale, R., J. Molinedo, T.E. Schumacher, S.K. Papiernik, D.D. Malo, D.E. Clay, et al. 2013. Nitrate sorption and desorption in biochar from fast pyrolysis. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 179:250–257. doi:10.1016/j.micromeso.2013.05.023
- Clough, T.J., J.E. Ferris, J.L. Ray, L.M. Cardon, M. O'Callaghan, R.R. Sherlock, et al. 2010. Unweathered wood biochar impact on nitrous oxide emissions from a bovine urine-amended pasture soil. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 74:852–860. doi:10.2136/soil2009.0185
- Clough, T.J., L.M. Cardon, C. Kammann, and C. Müller. 2013. A Review of Biochar and Soil Nitrogen Dynamics. *Agronomy* 3:275–293. doi:10.3390/agronomy3020275
- Clough, T.J., R.J. Sawers, R.J. Laughlin, R.R. Sherlock, and K.C. Cameron. 2001. Transformations of inorganic-N in soil leachate under differing storage conditions. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 33:1473–1480. doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00566-6
- Correa, R.S., R.E. White, and A.J. Weatherley. 2006. Risk of nitrate leaching from two soils amended with biochar. *Water Resour.* 35:453–462.
- Daniel, W.L., G.K. Eganley, S.M. Nagle, and J.M. Schmidt. 2003. Nitrate leaching potentials in gravel mined lands reclaimed with biosolids. In: *Proceedings of the Virginia Water Resources Symposium, Water Resources Management for the Commonwealth*, Blacksburg, VA, 7–18 Oct. 2003. Virginia Water Resources Research Center, Blacksburg, VA, p. 75–78.
- Daniel, W.L., G.K. Eganley, S.M. Nagle, and J.M. Schmidt. 2001. Effects of biosolids loading rate and sawdust addition on row crop yield and nitrate leaching potentials in Virginia sand and gravel mine reclamation. Presented at National Meeting of the American Society for Surface Mining and Reclamation, Albuquerque, NM, 3–7 June 2011. ASSMR, Lexington KY, p. 399–405.
- Davis, M. 2014. Nitrogen Leaching losses from forests in New Zealand. *NZ J. For. Sci.* 44:2. doi:10.1186/1179-5395-44-2
- Ducey, T.F., J.A. Ippolito, K.B. Cantrell, J.M. Novak, and R.D. Lantz. 2013. Addition of activated wood/gran biochar to an acidic soil increases microbial nitrogen cycling gene abundances. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 65:65–72. doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2013.01.006
- Ebohiri, S., and D.J. Roberts. 2013. Sustainable nitrate-contaminated water treatment using multi cycle ion-exchange/bioregeneration of nitrate selective resin. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 262:539–544. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.09.025
- EPA Victoria. 2004. *Guidelines for environmental management: Biosolids land application*. EPA Victoria Pub no. 943. EPA Victoria, Australia, p. 47–61.
- Fungo, B., D. Guenther, M. Thönges, J. Lehmann, H. Neufeldt, and K. Kalbitz. 2014. N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from soil amended with steam-activated biochar. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 177:34–38. doi:10.1007/s11103-014-0495-9
- Gai, X., H. Wang, J. Liu, L. Zhu, S. Liu, T. Ren, et al. 2014. Effects of feedstock and pyrolysis temperature on biochar adsorption of ammonium and nitrate. *PLoS ONE* 9: e113888. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0113888
- Ghani, A., M. Demirel, and K.W. Jeniec. 2003. Hot-water extractable carbon in soils: A sensitive measure for decreasing impacts of fertilization, grazing and cultivation. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 35:1231–1243. doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(03)00186-X
- Glass, B., J. Lehmann, and W. Zech. 2002. Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly weathered soils in the tropics with charcoal: A review. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 35:219–230. doi:10.1007/s00374-002-0466-4
- Grudink, M.J., and T.H. DeLuca. 2007. Charcoal effects on soil solution chemistry and growth of *Radiata marmorata* in the ponderosa pine/Douglas-fir ecosystem. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 43:303–311. doi:10.1007/s00374-006-0106-5
- Guo, Y., H. Tang, G. Li, and D. Xie. 2014. Effects of cow dung biochar amendment on adsorption and leaching of nutrient from an acid yellow soil irrigated with biogas slurry. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 225:1820. doi:10.1007/s11270-013-1820-x
- Hale, S.E., V. Alling, V. Martinez, J. Muller, G.D. Beesfield, and G. Cornelissen. 2013. The sorption and desorption of phosphorus-P, ammonium-N and nitrate-N in cacao shell and corn cob biochars. *Chemosphere* 91:1612–1619. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.12.057
- Harayani, K.D., and A.H.M.E. Awaw. 2012. Adsorption of nutrients from stormwater using sawdust. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Des.* 3:114–117. doi:10.7763/IJESD.2012.3.3.199
- Henry, C.L., D.W. Cole, and R.B. Harrison. 1994. Use of municipal sludge to restore and improve site productivity in forestry: The Park Forest sludge research program. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 66:137–149. doi:10.1016/0378-1127(94)90153-8
- Hins, K., P. Bishop, M.C. Arbonar, B. Calvelo-Pereira, J.A. Mado-Agullo, J. Hindmarsh, et al. 2010. Producing biochars with enhanced surface activity through alkaline pretreatment of feedstocks. *Appl. J. Soil Res.* 48:606–617. doi:10.1071/SB100015
- Hue, N.V. 2014. Land application of biosolids. Univ. Hawaii at Manoa, College of Tropical Agric. And Human Resources. <http://www.ctahr.hawaii.edu/buen/biosolids.htm> (accessed 16 Mar. 2015).
- Kameyama, K., T. Miyamoto, T. Shiono, and Y. Shinogi. 2012. Influence of sugarcane bagasse-derived biochar application on nitrate leaching in calcareous soil. *J. Environ. Qual.* 41:1131–1137. doi:10.2134/jeq2010.0453
- Kerison, A., T. Leiviska, O. Harno, and J. Tuukkanen. 2015. Removal of nitrate by modified pine sawdust: Effects of temperature and co-existing anions. *J. Environ. Manage.* 147:46–54. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2014.09.006
- Knowles, D.A., B.H. Robinson, A. Costantini, and L. Chicas. 2011. Biochar for the mitigation of nitrate leaching from soil amended with biosolids. *Sci. Total Environ.* 409:3206–3210. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.05.011
- Kwapinski, W., C.M.F. Byers, E. Krywacko, P. Wolfran, C. Adley, J. Leahy, et al. 2010. Biochar from biomass and waste, waste and biomass valorization 1:177–189. doi:10.1007/s12649-010-9024-8
- Lehmann, J. 2007. Bio-energy in the black. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 5:361–367. doi:10.1890/1540-9295(2007)5[361:BITB]2.0.CO;2
- Liang, B., J. Lehmann, D. Solomon, J. Kinyangi, J. Grossman, B. O'Neill, et al. 2006. Black Carbon increases carbon sequestration capacity in soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 70:1719–1730. doi:10.2136/soil2005.0383
- Libra, J., S.R. Kyoung, C. Kammann, A. Purde, N. Berge, Y. Neubauer, et al. 2011. Hydrothermal carbonization of biomass residue: A comparison of view of the chemistry, processes and applications of wet and dry pyrolysis. *Biofuels* 2:71–106. doi:10.4155/bf.10.31
- McLaren, R.G., and K.C. Cameron. 1996. *Soil science: Sustainable production and environmental protection*. Oxford Univ. Press, Auckland, New Zealand.
- Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. 2010. National exotic forest description in 2009. Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Wellington, New Zealand.
- Minerals. 2010. Minerals release 16.0. Minerals, State College, PA.
- Mishra, P.C., and R.K. Paul. 2009. Use of agricultural waste for the removal of nitrate-nitrogen from aqueous medium. *J. Environ. Manage.* 90:519–522. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2007.12.003
- Mukherjee, A., A.R. Zimmerman, and W. Harris. 2011. Surface chemistry variations among a series of laboratory-produced biochars. *Geoderma* 163:287–295. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2011.04.021
- Nguyen, B.T., and J. Lehmann. 2009. Black carbon decomposition under varying water regimes. *Org. Geochem.* 40:846–853. doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2009.05.004
- Novak, J.M., I. Liu, B.S. Xing, J.W. Gaslin, C. Seitzinger, K.C. Das, et al. 2009. Characterization of designer biochar produced at different temperatures and their effect on a loamy sand. *Ann. Environ. Sci.* 3:195–206.
- New Zealand Waste Water Association. 2003. *Guidelines for the safe application of biosolids to land in New Zealand*. NZWWA, Wellington, New Zealand.
- Park, S.J., Y.S. Jung, J.W. Shin, and S.K. Ryu. 2003. Studies on pore structure and surface functional groups of pinch-based activated carbon fibers. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 260:258–264. doi:10.1016/S0021-9797(02)00881-4
- Robinson, B.H. 2007. Paper for the phytomanagement of boron contaminated sites. *Environ. Pollut.* 150:225–235. doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2007.01.017
- Ronald, J.L., M. Pretz, and P.B. Boland, editors. 2008. *Global atlas of excreta, wastewater sludge, and biosolids management: Moving toward the sustainable and welcome uses of a global resource*. United Nations Human Settlement Programme, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Sarkar, D.V., T.A. Greenhalgh, and A.A. Berhe. 2013. Effectiveness of biochar for sorption of ammonium and phosphate from dairy effluent. *J. Environ. Qual.* 42:1545–1554. doi:10.2134/jeq2012.0482
- Schäppi, L., and M. Voproski-Vokrov. 1998. Nitrate removal from groundwaters using a denitrification wall amended with sawdust: Field trial. *J. Environ. Qual.* 27:664–668. doi:10.2134/jeq1998.004724290027000700025x
- Schmidt, J.M., Daniel, W.L., Li, R.S., and McFaden, D. 2001. Determining optimal sawdust/biosolids mixtures to manage nitrate leaching in reclaimed disturbed soils. Presented at National Meeting of the American Society for Surface Mining and Reclamation, Albuquerque, NM, 3–7 June 2011. ASSMR, Lexington KY, p. 399–405.
- Shahmoradian, M.S., W.M.A.W. Daud, A. Houshmand, and A. Shariati. 2010. A review on surface modification of activated carbon for carbon dioxide adsorption. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* 89:145–151. doi:10.1016/j.jaap.2010.07.006



- Shukla, A., Y.H. Zhang, P. Dubey, J.L. Margrave, and S.S. Shukla. 2002. The role of sandstone in the removal of treated materials from water. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 95:137–152. doi:10.1016/S0304-3894(02)00089-4
- Sika, M.P., and A.G. Hardie. 2014. Effect of pine wood biochar on ammonium nitrate leaching and availability in a South African sandy soil. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 65:113–119. doi:10.1111/ejss.12082
- Simmex, M., L. Cadamantano, B. Schulin, P. Madejon, R. Keiser, L. Clucas, et al. 2013. Lignite reduces the solubility and plant uptake of cadmium in pasturelands. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47:4497–4504. doi:10.1021/es305118a
- Smith, S.R., V. Wood, and T.D. Evans. 1998. Nitrate dynamics in biosolid-amended soils. I. Influence of biosolid type and soil type. *Bioresour. Technol.* 66:134–149. doi:10.1016/S0960-8524(97)00095-3
- Soma, F.W., A.G. Oliveira, J.P. Ribeiro, M.F. Rosa, D. Kerkelene, and R.P. Nascimento. 2010. Green cocoon shell applied as adsorbent for removal of toxic metal ions using fixed-bed column technology. *J. Environ. Manage.* 91:1674–1680. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.02.011
- Spokas, K.A., J.M. Novak, C.E. Stewart, K.B. Cantrell, M. Uchimiya, M.G. DuSartre, et al. 2011. Qualitative analysis of volatile organic compounds on biochar. *Chemosphere* 85:869–882. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.06.108
- Su, P., K. Genshalm, A. Pranovich, I. Hájek, B. Holmboe, and A. Jiraska. 2012. Metal ion sorption to birch and spruce wood. *BioResources* 7:2141–2155. doi:10.15376/biores.7.2.2141-2155
- Taghizadeh-Toosi, A., T.J. Clough, L.M. Condron, R.R. Sherlock, C.R. Anderson, and R.A. Craigie. 2011. Biochar incorporation into pasture soil suppresses *in situ* nitrous oxide emissions from ruminant urine patches. *J. Environ. Qual.* 40:664–676. doi:10.2134/jeq2010.0419
- Taghizadeh-Toosi, A., T.J. Clough, R.R. Sherlock, and L.M. Condron. 2012. Biochar adsorbed ammonia is bioavailable. *Plant Soil* 350:57–69. doi:10.1007/s11104-011-0870-3
- Troy, S.M., P.G. Lawlor, C.J.O. Flynn, and M.G. Healy. 2014. The impact of biochar addition on nutrient leaching and soil properties from tillage soil amended with pig manure. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 225:1900. doi:10.1007/s11270-014-1900-6
- Van Dijk, D., and V.J.G. Houba. 1998. Wageningen evaluating programmes for analytical laboratories (WEPAL). Presented at the AOAC International Central Europe Subsection 5th International Symposium on Interpretation of Chemical, Microbiological and Biological Results and the Role of Proficiency Testing in Accreditation of Laboratories, Viena, Austria, 21–23 Oct. 1998. Department of Environmental Sciences, Wageningen Agricultural University, Wageningen.
- Waikato Regional Council. 2011. NZ Soils. <http://www.nzsoils.org.nz/> (accessed 16 Mar. 2013).
- Wang, H., K. Liu, Z. Han, B. Richardson, and J. Gan. 2010. Sorption of the herbicide terbutylazine in two New Zealand forest soils amended with biosolids and biochars. *J. Soils Sediments* 10:285–289. doi:10.1007/s11368-009-0111-z
- Wang, Z., H. Guo, F. Shen, G. Yang, Y. Zhang, Y. Zeng, et al. 2015. Biochar produced from oak wood by Lanthanum (La)-loaded pyrolysis for adsorption of ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), and phosphate (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>). *Chemosphere* 119:446–453. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.07.084
- Wenzel, T., K.J. Hall, A. Mubough, K. Frankowski, and S.J.R. Duff. 2005. Characterization of leachate from a woodwaste pile. *Water Qual. Res. J. Canada* 40:474–483.
- Xiao, F., and J.J. Pignatello. 2015. Interactions of triazine herbicides with biochar: Steric and electronic effects. *Water Res.* 80:179–188. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2015.05.040
- Yao, Y., B. Gao, M. Zhang, M. Iryong, and A.B. Zimmerman. 2012. Effect of biochar amendment on sorption and leaching of nitrate, ammonium, and phosphate in a sandy soil. *Chemosphere* 89:1467–1471. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.06.002
- Zeng, Z., S.-D. Zhang, T.-Q. Li, F.-L. Zhao, Z.-L. He, H.-P. Zhao, et al. 2013. Sorption of ammonium and phosphate from aqueous solution by biochar derived from phytomedication plants. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B* 14:1152–1161. doi:10.1631/jzus.B1300102
- Zhang, H., R.P. Voronova, and G.W. Price. 2015. Effects of temperature and processing conditions on biochar chemical properties and their influence on soil C and N transformations. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 85:19–28. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.01.006
- Zhao, X., S. Wang, and G. Xing. 2014. Nitrification, acidification, and nitrogen leaching from subtropical cropland soils as affected by rice straw-based biochar: Laboratory incubation and column leaching studies. *J. Soils Sediments* 14:471–482. doi:10.1007/s11368-013-0805-2

# Effect of Pine Waste and Pine Biochar on Nitrogen Mobility in Biosolids

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

24%

SIMILARITY INDEX

17%

INTERNET SOURCES

21%

PUBLICATIONS

2%

STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1 Young-Nam Kim, Brett Robinson, Jacqui Horswell, Stephane Boyer, Nicholas Dickinson. " Impacts of Endemic Earthworms (Megascolecidae) in Biosolids-Amended Soil ", *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 2017  
Publication 1 %
- 2 [digitalcommons.unl.edu](https://digitalcommons.unl.edu)  
Internet Source 1 %
- 3 Jörg Gartler, Brett Robinson, Karen Burton, Lynne Clucas. "Carbonaceous soil amendments to biofortify crop plants with zinc", *Science of The Total Environment*, 2013  
Publication 1 %
- 4 [access.pericles-prod.literatumonline.com](https://access.pericles-prod.literatumonline.com)  
Internet Source 1 %
- 5 [link.springer.com](https://link.springer.com)  
Internet Source 1 %
- 6 [open.library.ubc.ca](https://open.library.ubc.ca)  
Internet Source 1 %

7	A. Bradley, R. A. Larson, T. Runge. "Effect of Wood Biochar in Manure-Applied Sand Columns on Leachate Quality", Journal of Environmental Quality, 2015 Publication	1 %
8	<a href="http://www.epoverviews.com">www.epoverviews.com</a> Internet Source	1 %
9	Shamim Al Mamun, Guilhem Chanson, Muliadi, Ebrahim Benyas et al. "Municipal composts reduce the transfer of Cd from soil to vegetables", Environmental Pollution, 2016 Publication	<1 %
10	Submitted to University of Bradford Student Paper	<1 %
11	<a href="http://eprints.qut.edu.au">eprints.qut.edu.au</a> Internet Source	<1 %
12	Michael Simmler, Lisa Ciadamidaro, Rainer Schulin, Paula Madejón, René Reiser, Lynne Clucas, Paul Weber, Brett Robinson. "Lignite Reduces the Solubility and Plant Uptake of Cadmium in Pasturelands", Environmental Science & Technology, 2013 Publication	<1 %
13	Jérémie Lockwell, Werther Guidi, Michel Labrecque. "Soil carbon sequestration potential of willows in short-rotation coppice	<1 %

## established on abandoned farm lands", Plant and Soil, 2012

Publication

14

[d-nb.info](http://d-nb.info)

Internet Source

<1 %

15

[sciendo.com](http://sciendo.com)

Internet Source

<1 %

16

"Engineered Biochar", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2022

Publication

<1 %

17

Knowles, O.A.. "Biochar for the mitigation of nitrate leaching from soil amended with biosolids", Science of the Total Environment, 20110801

Publication

<1 %

18

[pure.uva.nl](http://pure.uva.nl)

Internet Source

<1 %

19

[www.tandfonline.com](http://www.tandfonline.com)

Internet Source

<1 %

20

Sven G. Sommer, Timothy J. Clough, Nimlesh Balaine, Sasha D. Hafner, Keith C. Cameron. "Transformation of Organic Matter and the Emissions of Methane and Ammonia during Storage of Liquid Manure as Affected by Acidification", Journal of Environmental Quality, 2017

Publication

<1 %

21

D. V. Murphy. "Relationships between soil organic matter and the soil microbial biomass (size, functional diversity, and community structure) in crop and pasture systems in a semi-arid environment", *Soil Research*, 2010

Publication

&lt;1 %

22

Xu Zhao, Shenqiang Wang, Guangxi Xing. "Nitrification, acidification, and nitrogen leaching from subtropical cropland soils as affected by rice straw-based biochar: laboratory incubation and column leaching studies", *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 2013

Publication

&lt;1 %

23

Zheng, Hao, Zhenyu Wang, Xia Deng, Stephen Herbert, and Baoshan Xing. "Impacts of adding biochar on nitrogen retention and bioavailability in agricultural soil", *Geoderma*, 2013.

Publication

&lt;1 %

24

[biblio.ugent.be](http://biblio.ugent.be)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

25

AJ Hill, HJ Di, KC Cameron, A Podolyan. "Comparison of dicyandiamide and biochar for reducing nitrate leaching under winter forage grazing in Canterbury, New Zealand", *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2014

Publication

&lt;1 %

26

Ebrahim Benyas, Jennifer Owens, Salome Seyedalikhani, Brett Robinson. "Cadmium Uptake by Ryegrass and Ryegrass–Clover Mixtures under Different Liming Rates", *Journal of Environment Quality*, 2018

Publication

&lt;1 %

27

Endita Prima Ari Pratiwi, Aurelia Karina Hillary, Tetsuro Fukuda, Yoshiyuki Shinogi. "The effects of rice husk char on ammonium, nitrate and phosphate retention and leaching in loamy soil", *Geoderma*, 2016

Publication

&lt;1 %

28

Nicholas Dickinson, Marta Marmioli, Bianca Das, Daniel McLaughlin, David Leung, Brett Robinson. "Endemic Plants as Browse Crops in Agricultural Landscapes of New Zealand", *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*, 2014

Publication

&lt;1 %

29

[www.mpi.govt.nz](http://www.mpi.govt.nz)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

30

Submitted to Cranfield University

Student Paper

&lt;1 %

31

Daoyuan Wang, Mark L. Felice, Kate M. Scow. "Impacts and interactions of biochar and biosolids on agricultural soil microbial communities during dry and wet-dry cycles", *Applied Soil Ecology*, 2020

&lt;1 %

32

Jitendra Kumar Singh, Pankaj Kumar, Saurabh Vishwakarma. "Multivariate and Statistical Evaluation of Coastal Water Quality and Seasonal Variation in the Physicochemical Properties of Gulf of Khambhat Region, Gujarat, India", Water, Air, & Soil Pollution, 2022

Publication

<1 %

33

Latifah Omar, Ahmed Osumanu Haruna, Nik Muhammad Majid. "Effect of Organic Amendment Derived from Co-Composting of Chicken Slurry and Rice Straw on Reducing Nitrogen Loss from Urea", Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 2016

Publication

<1 %

34

M. A. R. Khan, N. S. Bolan, A. D. MacKay. "Adsorption and Desorption of Copper in Pasture Soils", Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 2007

Publication

<1 %

35

Xiaokai Zhang, Lizhi He, Ajit K. Sarmah, Kunde Lin, Yingkun Liu, Jianwu Li, Hailong Wang. "Retention and release of diethyl phthalate in biochar-amended vegetable garden soils", Journal of Soils and Sediments, 2014

Publication

<1 %

36

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

37

[pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](http://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

38

C. Liu, F. Liu, S. Ravnskov, G. H. Rubaek, Z. Sun, M. N. Andersen. "Impact of Wood Biochar and Its Interactions with Mycorrhizal Fungi, Phosphorus Fertilization and Irrigation Strategies on Potato Growth", *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science*, 2017

Publication

&lt;1 %

39

Jonathan L. Deenik, Tai McClellan, Goro Uehara, Michael J. Antal, Sonia Campbell. "Charcoal Volatile Matter Content Influences Plant Growth and Soil Nitrogen Transformations", *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 2010

Publication

&lt;1 %

40

[www.hst-j.org](http://www.hst-j.org)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

41

A. M. P. Netthisinghe, K. L. Cook, R. A. Gilfillen, K. R. Sistani, P. B. Woosley. "Management Practices Affect Soil Nutrients and Bacterial Populations in Backgrounding Beef Feedlot", *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 2015

Publication

&lt;1 %



42

Christopher J. Atkinson, Jean D. Fitzgerald, Neil A. Higgs. "Potential mechanisms for achieving agricultural benefits from biochar application to temperate soils: a review", *Plant and Soil*, 2010

Publication

---

<1 %

43

Daniel A. Bair, Fungai N. D. Mukome, Inna E. Popova, Temitope A. Ogunyoku et al. "Sorptions of Pharmaceuticals, Heavy Metals, and Herbicides to Biochar in the Presence of Biosolids", *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 2016

Publication

---

<1 %

44

Elizabeth Chávez-García, Christina Siebe. "Rehabilitation of a highly saline-sodic soil using a rubble barrier and organic amendments", *Soil and Tillage Research*, 2019

Publication

---

<1 %

45

K. Khelif, Z. Salem, L. Boumehdi. "Nutrient removal from wastewaters using treated incineration residues of expired medications: Kinetics, thermodynamics and isotherm modeling", *Particulate Science and Technology*, 2017

Publication

---

<1 %

46

Ruijun Qin, Fuxing Chen. "Amelioration of Aluminum Toxicity in Red Soil Through Use of Barnyard and Green Manure",

<1 %

# Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 2005

Publication

- 
- |    |  |      |
|----|--|------|
| 47 | T.J. Clough, J.E. Bertram, J.L. Ray, L.M. Condon, M. O'Callaghan, R.R. Sherlock, N.S. Wells. "Unweathered Wood Biochar Impact on Nitrous Oxide Emissions from a Bovine-Urine-Amended Pasture Soil", Soil Science Society of America Journal, 2010<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 48 | <a href="http://docksci.com">docksci.com</a><br>Internet Source  | <1 % |
| 49 | <a href="http://dspace.knust.edu.gh">dspace.knust.edu.gh</a><br>Internet Source  | <1 % |
| 50 | <a href="http://lib.dr.iastate.edu">lib.dr.iastate.edu</a><br>Internet Source  | <1 % |
| 51 | <a href="http://open.lnu.se">open.lnu.se</a><br>Internet Source  | <1 % |
| 52 | <a href="http://waterinstitute.ufl.edu">waterinstitute.ufl.edu</a><br>Internet Source  | <1 % |
| 53 | <a href="http://www.thefreelibrary.com">www.thefreelibrary.com</a><br>Internet Source  | <1 % |
| 54 | Christine Beusch, Arne Cierjacks, Johannes Böhm, Jan Mertens et al. "Biochar vs. clay: Comparison of their effects on nutrient   | <1 % |

retention of a tropical Arenosol", Geoderma,  
2019

Publication

---

55

Cindy M. Cooke, Lindsey Gove, Fiona A. Nicholson, Hadrian F. Cook, Angus J. Beck. "Effect of drying and composting biosolids on the movement of nitrate and phosphate through repacked soil columns under steady-state hydrological conditions", Chemosphere, 2001

Publication

---

<1 %

56

Denis Curtin, Michael H. Beare, Catherine L. Scott, Guillermo Hernandez-Ramirez, Esther D. Meenken. "Mineralization of Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Following Physical Disturbance: A Laboratory Assessment", Soil Science Society of America Journal, 2014

Publication

---

<1 %

57

Ian A. Navarrete. "Chemical, Mineralogical, and Morphological Characteristics of a Late Quaternary Sedimentary Rock-Derived Soils in Leyte, Philippines :", Soil Science, 12/2011

Publication

---

<1 %

58

Nikola Teutscherova, Jakub Houška, Mariela Navas, Alberto Masaguer, Marta Benito, Eduardo Vazquez. "Leaching of ammonium and nitrate from Acrisol and Calcisol amended

<1 %

with holm oak biochar: A column study",  
Geoderma, 2018

Publication

---

59

Rajapaksha, Anushka Upamali, Season S. Chen, Daniel C.W. Tsang, Ming Zhang, Meththika Vithanage, Sanchita Mandal, Bin Gao, Nanthi S. Bolan, and Yong Sik Ok. "Engineered/designer biochar for contaminant removal/immobilization from soil and water: Potential and implication of biochar modification", Chemosphere, 2016.

Publication

---

60

Suddick, Emma C., and Johan Six. "An estimation of annual nitrous oxide emissions and soil quality following the amendment of high temperature walnut shell biochar and compost to a small scale vegetable crop rotation", The Science of The Total Environment, 2013.

Publication

---

61

Torres-Climent, A., P. Gomis, J. Martín-Mata, M. A. Bustamante, F. C. Marhuenda-Egea, M. D. Pérez-Murcia, A. Pérez-Espinosa, C. Paredes, and R. Moral. "Chemical, Thermal and Spectroscopic Methods to Assess Biodegradation of Winery-Distillery Wastes during Composting", PLoS ONE, 2015.

Publication

---

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

62 Zhanghong Wang, Haiyan Guo, Fei Shen, Gang Yang, Yanzong Zhang, Yongmei Zeng, Lilin Wang, Hong Xiao, Shihuai Deng. "Biochar produced from oak sawdust by Lanthanum (La)-involved pyrolysis for adsorption of ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>), and phosphate (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup>)", Chemosphere, 2015  
Publication

---

63 doczz.net  
Internet Source

---

64 eprints.nwisrl.ars.usda.gov  
Internet Source

---

65 research.wsulibs.wsu.edu:8080  
Internet Source

---

66 scholar.lib.vt.edu  
Internet Source

---

67 www.fspublishers.org  
Internet Source

---

68 www.theses.fr  
Internet Source

---

69 Avanthi Deshani Igalavithana, Yong Sik Ok, Adel R.A. Usman, Mohammad I. Al-Wabel, Patryk Oleszczuk, Sang Soo Lee. "The Effects of Biochar Amendment on Soil Fertility", Soil Science Society of America, 2015  
Publication

---

70

Batzias, F.A.. "Simulation of dye adsorption by beech sawdust as affected by pH", Journal of Hazardous Materials, 20070322

Publication

---

71

E. G. Gregorich. "Towards a minimum data set to assess soil organic matter quality in agricultural soils", Canadian Journal of Soil Science, 11/1994

Publication

---

72

J. Esperschuetz, C. Anderson, S. Bulman, O. Katamian, J. Horswell, N.M. Dickinson, B.H. Robinson. "Response of Leptospermum scoparium , Kunzea robusta and Pinus radiata to contrasting biowastes", Science of The Total Environment, 2017

Publication

---

73

Pekkuz, H.. "Kinetics and thermodynamics of the adsorption of some dyestuffs from aqueous solution by poplar sawdust", Bioresource Technology, 200804

Publication

---

74

S Smith. "Nitrate dynamics in biosolids-treated soils. I. Influence of biosolids type and soil type", Bioresource Technology, 1998

Publication

---

75

bioone.org  
Internet Source

&lt;1 %

&lt;1 %

&lt;1 %

&lt;1 %

&lt;1 %

&lt;1 %

76	<a href="http://etda.libraries.psu.edu">etda.libraries.psu.edu</a> Internet Source	<1 %
77	<a href="http://experts.umn.edu">experts.umn.edu</a> Internet Source	<1 %
78	<a href="http://harvest.usask.ca">harvest.usask.ca</a> Internet Source	<1 %
79	<a href="http://journals.biologists.com">journals.biologists.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
80	<a href="http://journals.plos.org">journals.plos.org</a> Internet Source	<1 %
81	<a href="http://muir.massey.ac.nz">muir.massey.ac.nz</a> Internet Source	<1 %
82	<a href="http://ndl.ethernet.edu.et">ndl.ethernet.edu.et</a> Internet Source	<1 %
83	<a href="http://oaktrust.library.tamu.edu">oaktrust.library.tamu.edu</a> Internet Source	<1 %
84	<a href="http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com">onlinelibrary.wiley.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
85	<a href="http://research.library.mun.ca">research.library.mun.ca</a> Internet Source	<1 %
86	<a href="http://researchbank.rmit.edu.au">researchbank.rmit.edu.au</a> Internet Source	<1 %
87	<a href="http://s3-ap-southeast-1.amazonaws.com">s3-ap-southeast-1.amazonaws.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %

88

[www.ajol.info](http://www.ajol.info)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

89

[www.frontiersin.org](http://www.frontiersin.org)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

90

[www.ipe.uni-bonn.de](http://www.ipe.uni-bonn.de)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

91

[www.universityofgalway.ie](http://www.universityofgalway.ie)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

92

Marcus A. Hardie, Garth Oliver, Brent E. Clothier, Sally A. Bound, Steve A. Green, Dugald C. Close. "Effect of Biochar on Nutrient Leaching in a Young Apple Orchard", *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 2015

Publication

&lt;1 %

93

Qiang Ji, Shi-Xiang Zhao, Zhong-Hui Li, Yuan-Yuan Ma, Xu-Dong Wang. "Effects of Biochar-Straw on Soil Aggregation, Organic Carbon Distribution, and Wheat Growth", *Agronomy Journal*, 2016

Publication

&lt;1 %

94

S. Seyedalikhani, J. Esperschuetz, N.M. Dickinson, R. Hofmann, J. Breitmeyer, J. Horswell, D. Paramashivam, B.H. Robinson. "Biowastes promote essential oil production on degraded soils", *Industrial Crops and Products*, 2020

Publication

&lt;1 %



95

Sika, M. P., and A. G. Hardie. "Effect of pine wood biochar on ammonium nitrate leaching and availability in a South African sandy soil : Biochar reduces N leaching and availability", European Journal of Soil Science, 2014.

Publication

&lt;1 %

96

"Copper-litter-soil interaction assessment in fruit tree productive systems", Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile, 2021

Publication

&lt;1 %

97

"National Forest Inventories", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2016

Publication

&lt;1 %

98

Katharina Prost, Nils Borchard, Jan Siemens, Timo Kautz, Jean-Marie Séquaris, Andreas Möller, Wulf Amelung. "Biochar Affected by Composting with Farmyard Manure", Journal of Environmental Quality, 2013

Publication

&lt;1 %

99

Keren Ding, Jiafa Luo, Timothy J. Clough, Stewart Ledgard, Stuart Lindsey, Hong J. Di. "In situ nitrous oxide and dinitrogen fluxes from a grazed pasture soil following cow urine application at two nitrogen rates", Science of The Total Environment, 2022

Publication

&lt;1 %

100

Mabel M. Bregliani, Erwin J. M. Temminghoff, Willem H. van Riemsdijk, Ernesto S. Haggi.

&lt;1 %

"Nitrogen Fractions in Arable Soils in Relation to Nitrogen Mineralization and Plant Uptake", Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 2006

Publication

---

- 101 Mohammad I. Al-Wabel, Qaiser Hussain, Adel R.A. Usman, Mahtab Ahmad, Adel Abduljabbar, Abdulazeem S. Sallam, Yong Sik Ok. "Impact of biochar properties on soil conditions and agricultural sustainability: A review", Land Degradation & Development, 2018 <1 %
- Publication
- 

- 102 Nicholson N. Jeke, Francis Zvomuya, Lisette Ross. "Moisture Effects on Nitrogen Availability in Municipal Biosolids from End-of-Life Municipal Lagoons", Journal of Environmental Quality, 2015 <1 %
- Publication
- 

- 103 S.J. Gregory, C.W.N. Anderson, M. Camps Arbestain, M.T. McManus. "Response of plant and soil microbes to biochar amendment of an arsenic-contaminated soil", Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 2014 <1 %
- Publication
- 

- 104 Sun, Haijun, Haiying Lu, Lei Chu, Hongbo Shao, and Weiming Shi. "Biochar applied with appropriate rates can reduce N leaching, keep <1 %

# N retention and not increase NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization in a coastal saline soil", The Science of The Total Environment, 2016.

Publication

---

---

Exclude quotes      On

Exclude matches      Off

Exclude bibliography      On



## Digital Receipt

This receipt acknowledges that Turnitin received your paper. Below you will find the receipt information regarding your submission.

The first page of your submissions is displayed below.

Submission author: Obed Nedjo Lense  
Assignment title: CA  
Submission title: Effect of Pine Waste and Pine Biochar on Nitrogen Mobility i...  
File name: Jurnal\_3.pdf  
File size: 821.24K  
Page count: 8  
Word count: 8,301  
Character count: 41,524  
Submission date: 04-Apr-2023 01:30AM (UTC+1000)  
Submission ID: 2054695761

Published December 16, 2015

Journal of Environmental Quality TECHNICAL REPORTS  
WASTE MANAGEMENT

### Effect of Pine Waste and Pine Biochar on Nitrogen Mobility in Biosolids

Dharini Paramashivam, Timothy J. Clough, Nicholas M. Dickinson, Jacqui Horswell, **Obed Lense**,  
Lynne Clucas, and Brett H. Robinson\*

**Abstract**  
Humanity produces ~27 kg of dry matter in biosolids per person per year. Land application of biosolids can improve crop production and remediate soils but may result in excessive nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N) leaching. Carbonaceous materials can reduce the environmental impact of biosolids application. We aimed to ascertain and compare the potentials for Monterey pine (*Pinus radiata* D. Don) sawdust-derived biochars and raw sawdust to reduce NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N leaching from biosolids. We used batch sorption experiments (1:10 ratio of material to solution (100 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> or NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) and column leaching experiments with columns containing biosolids (2.7% total N, 130 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and 1350 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) mixed with soil, biochar, or sawdust. One type of low-temperature (150°C) biochar sorbed 335 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, while the other biochars and sawdust sorbed <200 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. None of the materials sorbed NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Biochar reduced a ratio of 20 to 50% reduced NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N (<1% of total N) leaching from columns by 40 to 80%. Nitrate leaching (<7% of total N) varied little with biochar type or rate but was reduced by sawdust. Incorporating diesel sawdust with biosolids showed promise for mitigating NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N leaching. This effect likely is due to sorption into the pores of the biochar combined with denitrification and immobilization of N rather than chemical sorption onto surfaces.

**Core Ideas**

- Dry sawdust reduced nitrate leaching from biosolids, moist sawdust was less effective.
- Biochar was ineffective in reducing nitrate leaching from aged biosolids.
- Biochar chemically sorbed significant amounts of ammonium, whereas sawdust did not.
- Neither biochar nor sawdust chemically sorbed nitrate.
- Sawdust physically sorbed both ammonium and nitrate.

Copyright © American Society of Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, and Soil Science Society of America, 5585 Guilford Rd., Madison, WI 53711 USA. All rights reserved.

J. Environ. Qual.  
doi:10.2134/jeq2015.06.0288  
Supplemental material available online for this article.  
Received 22 June 2015.  
Accepted 23 Sept. 2015.  
\*Corresponding author: brett.robinson@oregonstate.edu.

D. Paramashivam, T.J. Clough, O. Lense, L. Clucas, and B.H. Robinson, Department of Natural Resource Science, Lincoln University PO Box 800 Lincoln, NE 68502; New Zealand: N.M. Dickinson, Dept. of Ecology, Lincoln Univ., PO Box 800 Lincoln 662; N. Zaleski, J. Horswell, Environmental Science and Research, Araruaia Science Centre, PO Box 20, Araruaia 5480; New Zealand. Accepted for publication 18 June 2016.

**Abbreviations:** CEC, cation exchange capacity; FA, fluorescein analysis; C, inorganic C; TOC, total organic C; VOC, volatile organic compound; WSC, water-soluble C.