


Bukti Korespondensi Artikel


1. The Sausapor Declaration: Challenges in promoting good governance to protect customary communities and natural resources in Tambrau district, West Papua

Sepus M.Fatem,, Yaved Syufi , Josefina Baru , Jonni Marwa , Yubelince Y. Runtuboi , Viktor Tawer , Saur Situmorang , Ferawati R. Runtuboi.

Pengiriman Naskah Pertama (12 Februari 2020)



Komentar Editor atas naskah pertama yang dikirim



Browser: (5,041 unread) - sepus_fatem@y... | URL: mail.yahoo.com/d/search/keyword=alif/messages/34156?pspid=2023538075&activity=ybar-mail&guce_referrer=aHR0CHM6Ly9sb2d...

Page Title: yahoo/mail | Search: alif

Sender: Muhammad Alif K. Sahide | Subject: REVIEW Sausapor Declaration: Challenges Faced by Government in Protecting the Natural Resources of the Indigenous People of Tambrauw District, West Papua

General comments:

Dear editors and authors,

I acknowledge the authors intention to scientifically publish regional development in Papua, analogous to the Tambora Declaration (Carnita-Larsen 2019) indicating the willingness of Indigenous to focus on conservation and sustainable development. Such publication should opt on regional appreciation and give the transnational scientific community to follow. Tambrauw is Indonesia's first conservation region. The manuscript is intended to inform the reader about the design and role of the government. However, I feel that the manuscript only provides the background and provides no details of governmental planning procedure, the intended process, conditions and so on. I would advise the authors to provide more detail about what conservation actions are planned and how the government and participating organizations are going to achieve them. All the members I have the feeling that the manuscript with the reader that something is happening in Tambrauw concerning conservation, but there is no description of what is planned. I would not positively find the authors do not have a specific affiliation. However, the language of the manuscript is not at a standard of international scientific publication. Many sentences do not flow well and are grammatically incorrect. With my review, I will submit as many errors as possible, but I am aware that it is not possible to address all of them with specific comments. Therefore, I want to advise the authors to ask for help of a native or good English speaker or a professional editor. All in all, I think the manuscript needs a lot of work and improvement to make it understandable and readable. However, I would be happy to help and assist with translation.

Specific comments:

As there are many specific comments and no line numbers in the manuscript, I decided to comment within the provided manuscript. Please find my specific comments in the section the "Sausapor_declaration_review_specific_comments".

Reviewers:

Reviewers A: I think it is good that authors highlight the specific conservation points listed in Papua. However, the current version lacks details of the proposed actions, the history, and context. Besides, the poor quality of English makes it difficult to follow and extract main points from the manuscript.

Forward and Society: A Review and Title of Science (ESD) Indexed Journal | URL: https://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fo

System: 29°C Berawan | Cari | 22.21 25/06/2023

Browser: Sepus M. Fatem, The Sausapor D... | URL: journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fs/authorDashboard/submission/9346


Page Title: Forest and Society | Editor Decision

Participants: Muhammad Alif K. Sahide (alif), Micah R. Fisher (micah), Sepus M. Fatem (sfatem), Bart Verheijen (bverheijen)

Messages:

Note	From
The following message is being delivered on behalf of Forest and Society	sfatem2020-03-16
Dear Dr. Sepus M. Fatem: We have received the reviewers' comments on your submission to Forest and Society, "Sausapor Declaration: Challenges Faced by Government in Protecting the Natural Resources of the Indigenous People of Tambrauw District, West Papua". Our decision is: Revisions Required If you can suitably address their comments, below (and one file provided by Reviewer A in the attachment), I invite you to submit a revised version of your manuscript, for consideration. Please carefully address all the issues raised in the comments. We expect to receive your revision within 3 weeks from today. If you are submitting a revised manuscript please also: a) highlight any change in the text using the "Track Changes" function, and provide a point by point outline of the revisions, following the reviewers' comments AND/OR b) provide a suitable rebuttal to each reviewer comment not addressed in the text. I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript. Yours sincerely, Muhammad Alif K. Sahide Universitas Hasanuddin	08:13 PM

System: 29°C Berawan | Cari | 22.09 25/06/2023



Respon Author Ke Reviuwer atas Komentar Tahap I Naskah

Dear Editor and reviewer,

General comments

Based on general reviewer comments, we firstly changes the English version.


We further revised based on general comments of Reviewer A and Reviewer B

Reviewer comments	Author Response (yellow color)
Abstract	We have changed
Keyword	We have changed and add some key word
Content of manuscript: Provide more detail about conservation plans of government, NGO participation and how to achieve of it.	We have changed (look at the yellow color on first, third and fourth part of manuscript content)
Please add the name of people from government, NGO, community leader and etc who participating Tambrauw declaration	We have add already and more detail. We have changed (look at the yellow color on second part of manuscript content)
Concessions in the forestry sector and three	We have explained detail (look at the

(3) in the mining sector of the metal mineral IUP. Therefore, the local government needs to negotiate	yellow color on fifth part of manuscript content
Sausapor Declaration; Should changes due to some grammatically mistakes	We have changed already. Look at the box
In the last part of manuscript; Please elaborate, this manuscript so far fails to elaborate on what the real plans are and how to realize them.	We have changed already. Look at the final part, we have elaborate and explain it.
References; Please alphabetical and using mendeley	We have done already

Thanks for your attention,
Sepus M.Fatem

Komentar editor atas revisi tahap pertama naskah MS (7 Mei 2020)



Respon perbaikan lanjutan author

Dear Editor and reviewer,

We further revised based on general comments of Reviewer

Reviewer comments	Author Response (purple color)
Content of manuscript:	We have changed (look at the purple color in the manuscript content)
"Istilah "differentiators" pada halaman 24 yang muncul tanpa definisi atan petunjuk apapun,	We have add more information to explain the term used.
Pernyataan (hal. 25) bahwa wilayah tutupan hutan justeru dikurangi setelah Deklarasi, yang bertolak belakang dengan narasi naskah sebelumnya dan tema naskah secara umum ("The proposal to decrease the coverage of protection forests from 76% to 67%, which was made by the local government and customary communities, posed its own unique challenges").	Changes from 76% of the protected area to 67% are the facts identified in the RTRTW revision process of the Tambrauw district. We, therefore, add more explanation to the paragraph to assert the challenges on contradiction between the declaration and the ongoing process of RTRW's revision.

Thanks for your attention,

Sepus M.Fatem

Komentar Editor atas revisi perbaikan dan keputusan penerimaan naskah (17 Juni 2020)

The screenshot shows an email interface with the following content:

more context to several paragraphs. Please find my specific comments in track changes attached. If you go deeper with the problems between conservation and development, this would be interesting. Can you maybe suggest solutions / recommendations how to proceed from here? How can development and new concessions help the local population and not only big companies? I hope my comments are helpful to you and I am looking forward to seeing the final version. Please find my specific comments as track changes attached. ----- Forest and Society A Scopus and Web of Science ESCI Indexed Journal <http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fs/index>

The following message is being delivered on behalf of Forest and Society sfatem2020-06-17 10:56 AM

Dear Dr. Sepus M. Fatem: We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Forest and Society, "Sausapor Declaration: Challenges Faced by Government in Protecting the Natural Resources of the Indigenous People of Tambrauw District, West Papua". Our decision is to: Accept Submission Please find the recent final proof from our editor in the attachment, and we would like to ask you to send this manuscript to the professional English to proof the paper. We expect to receive your revision/final proof within 2 weeks from today. Wish you all the best, Muhammad Alif K. Sahide Editor, Forest and Society alif.mksr@gmail.com Forest and Society A Scopus and Web of Science ESCI indexed Journal <http://journal.unhas.ac.id/index.php/fs/index>

At the bottom of the email, there is a button labeled "Add Message".