

ISSN 1682-8356
ansinet.org/ijps



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
POULTRY SCIENCE

ANSI*net*

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan
Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544
E-mail: editorijps@gmail.com

International Journal of Poultry Science

Publisher: Asian Network for Scientific Information



eISSN: 1994-7992
pISSN: 1682-8356

International Journal of Poultry Science is a leading international refereed journal dedicated to publish cutting edge research on all aspects of poultry science. Scope of the journal includes: Anatomy, breeding, genetics, production, management, environment, health, behavior, welfare, immunology, molecular biology, metabolism, nutrition, physiology, reproduction, processing and products.

International Journal of Poultry Science now accepting new submissions. Submit your next article via [e-mail](#).

Editor-in-Chief: [Ibrahim Seker](#)

Volume 18, Number 1, 2019

Effects of Dietary Palm Oil on Production Performance and Serum Parameters of Laying Hens

A. Kolani, Y. Adjrah, M. Eklou-Lawson, A. Tete and K. Tona

International Journal of Poultry Science Volume 18, Number 1, 1-6, 2019

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Fulltext PDF\]](#) [\[Fulltext HTML\]](#) [\[XML: Abstract + References\]](#) [\[References\]](#)

The Effect of Adding Oak Bark Powder to the Diet on Some Productive and Immunological Characteristics of Broiler Chicks

Ali J. Hammoud, Aqeel Y. Alshukri, Ammar H. Areaaer, Khalil A. Alfertosi and Ali F. Alyasari

International Journal of Poultry Science Volume 18, Number 1, 7-13, 2019

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Fulltext PDF\]](#) [\[Fulltext HTML\]](#) [\[XML: Abstract + References\]](#) [\[References\]](#)

Effects of Feeding Time Restriction During the Whole Rearing Period on the Growth Performance of Broiler Chickens

A. Azis, S. Berliana and Afriani

International Journal of Poultry Science Volume 18, Number 1, 14-20, 2019

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Fulltext PDF\]](#) [\[Fulltext HTML\]](#) [\[XML: Abstract + References\]](#) [\[References\]](#)

The Effects of Rice Hull Inclusion and Enzyme Supplementation on the Growth Performance, Digestive Traits, Dry Matter and Phosphorus Content of Intestinal Digesta and Feces of Broiler Chickens

S. Hartini, D.D. Rahardjo and P. Purwaningsih

International Journal of Poultry Science Volume 18, Number 1, 21-27, 2019

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Fulltext PDF\]](#) [\[Fulltext HTML\]](#) [\[XML: Abstract + References\]](#) [\[References\]](#)

Lysine and Energy Trends in Feeding Modern Commercial Broilers

S. Cerrate and A. Corzo

International Journal of Poultry Science Volume 18, Number 1, 28-38, 2019

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Fulltext PDF\]](#) [\[Fulltext HTML\]](#) [\[XML: Abstract + References\]](#) [\[References\]](#)

Effects of *Ascaridia galli* Infection on Mucin-Producing Goblet Cells in the Mucosal Duodenum of Indonesian Local Chickens (*Gallus domesticus*)

J. Prastowo and B. Ariyadi

International Journal of Poultry Science Volume 18, Number 1, 39-44, 2019

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Fulltext PDF\]](#) [\[Fulltext HTML\]](#) [\[XML: Abstract + References\]](#) [\[References\]](#)

Growth Response, Serum Biochemistry and Organ Histopathology of Broilers Fed Diets supplemented with Graded levels of *Petiveria alliacea* Root Meal

Olubayo M. Odetola, Olufunmilayo O. Adejimi, Olatunde A. Owoyibo, Omotoyosi T. Banjo and Omolade O. Awodola-Peters

International Journal of Poultry Science Volume 18, Number 1, 45-50, 2019

[\[Abstract\]](#) [\[Fulltext PDF\]](#) [\[Fulltext HTML\]](#) [\[XML: Abstract + References\]](#) [\[References\]](#)

Navigation

- Online First
- Current Issue
- Previous Issues
- Editorial Board
- Submit a Manuscript
- Guide to Authors
- Article Processing Charges
- Subscribe to E-alerts

International Journal of Poultry Science

Q3

Animal Science and Zoology

best quartile

SJR 2018

0.25

powered by scimagojr.com

Google Scholar

Indexed In

- AGRICOLA
- ASCI-Database
- Asian Digital Library
- Cambridge Scientific Abstract
- Chemical Abstract Services
- SCIMAGO

International Journal of Poultry Science

Editor in Chief

Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Seker

Department of Zootechny, Veterinary Faculty,
Firat University, Turkey

Managing Editor

Muhammad Sarwar

Chief Executive,
Science Alert Conferences Organizing,
P.O. Box 126208, Deira, Dubai, UAE

Regional Editors:

Dr. Huisuo Huang

Global Food Scientist,
ROHA, 5015 Manchester Ave, Saint Louis,
MO 63110, USA

Dr. Rasha I. Qudsieh

Research Specialist,
Department of Poultry Science,
North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA

Dr. Tugay AYASAN

East Mediterranean Agricultural Research Institute,
Adana, Turkey

Dr. Attila Salamon

Ballyrichard Farm, Arklow, Co. Wicklow, Ireland, Y14 FC95

Dr. Arkan Baraa Mohamed

16B Kearsly Rise, Murdoch-6150, Westren Australia

Dr. Abdulbaki Saeed Al-Zaemey

Sana'a University, Yemen
Current Contact Address: 23-29 Eureka Cres,
Liverpool, NSW 2170.

Dr. Zack Lowman

Department of Poultry Science,
North Carolina State University,
Raleigh, NC 27695, USA

Dr. Sheikh Adil

Asstt. Professor, SKUAST-K, Kashmir

Dr. Yavuz Gurbuz

University of Kahramanmaras Sutcu Imam, TURKEY

Dr. Deepthi Nayananjalie,

Department of Animal and Food Sciences,
Faculty of Agriculture, Rajarata University of Sri Lanka,
Puliyankulama, Anuradhapura, Sri Lanka

Dr. Marwa Fawzy El Metwaly Ahmed ,

Mansoura University,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, 35516, Egypt

Assit. Prof. Dr. Latif Ibrahim Kadhim

Head of the Department of Pathology and Poultry Diseases,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Karbala University, Iraq

Technical Editors

Dr. Mst. Minara Khatun,
Department of Microbiology & Hygiene,
Faculty of Veterinary, Science,
Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU),
Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

Dr. Shekhmous H. Hussien,
Assist. Prof. of Poultry Breeding & Genetics,
Dept. of Animal Prod., Agric. College,
University of Duhok, Kurdistan region, Iraq

Dr. Vincenzo Tufarelli,
DETO - Section of Veterinary Science and Animal Production,
University of Bari 'Aldo Moro', s.p. Casamassima km 3,
70010 Valenzano, Italy

Dr. Wael M. K. Elfeil
No. 111 Lab of Microbiology and Immunology,
College of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine,
Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, China

Dr. Hosny Hasan EL-Adawy
Scientific researcher at Friedrich-Loeffler-Institute,
Federal Research, Institute for Animal Health, Germany

Dr. Heng-Wei Cheng
Research Biologist,
Department of Animal Sciences,
USDA-ARS, Purdue University, Purdue, USA

Dr. Amr Abd El-Wahab Hassan Abd El-Wahab
Department of Nutrition and Nutritional Deficiency Disease,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansura University,
35516-Manusara, Egypt

Dr. Rajeev Prasad
10880 Deerfield Trail
Kalamazoo MI 49009, Zoetis Inc., USA

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Amin Mohamed
Department of Animal Production,
National Research Center, Egypt

Prof. Dr. Eric R. Benson,
Department of Animal and Food Science
University of Delaware, 036 Townsend Hall, Newyark, DE 19716-2140



Research Article

The Effects of Rice Hull Inclusion and Enzyme Supplementation on the Growth Performance, Digestive Traits, Dry Matter and Phosphorus Content of Intestinal Digesta and Feces of Broiler Chickens

S. Hartini, D.D. Rahardjo and P. Purwaningsih

Faculty of Animal Husbandry, Papua University, Jl. Gunung Salju Amban, Manokwari 98314, Papua Barat, Indonesia

Abstract

Background and Objective: The study was conducted to determine the effects of rice hull inclusion and enzyme supplementation on the growth performance, digestive traits, DM and phosphorus (P) content of intestinal digesta and feces of broiler chickens from 3-21 days of age. **Materials and Methods:** A total of 200 three-days-old male Lohmann chicks were allocated to 4 treatments (10 replications per treatment): corn-soybean-based diet (CON), 40 g kg⁻¹ rice hull inclusion in the diet (RH), RH+phytase 1750 FTU kg⁻¹ (RHP), or RHP+cellulase 500 unit kg⁻¹ (RHPC). **Results:** From 7-14 and 14-21 days of age, feeding the RH improved ADG but addition of phytase reduced ($p \leq 0.05$) ADG. From 3-21 days of age, birds within the RH groups had better ADG and G:F than those within the CON group; the addition of phytase and cellulase improved ($p \leq 0.05$) G:F more than the individual phytase. At 21 days of age, the feeding of RH tended ($p = 0.057$) to increase the weight of empty gizzards, increased ($p \leq 0.05$) the jejunum content and the P-disappearance of digesta and reduced ($p \leq 0.05$) the P-excretion. Supplementation of phytase and cellulase increased the P-disappearance and reduced the P-excretion more than the supplementation of phytase. **Conclusion:** This study demonstrated that the inclusion of 40 g kg⁻¹ rice hulls can improve the growth performance of young broilers. Supplementation of phytase and cellulase had a better effect than phytase in increasing ADG, G:F and P-disappearance in digesta and in reducing P-excretion.

Key words: Broiler chickens, growth performance, phosphorus, phytase, rice hulls

Received: October 15, 2018

Accepted: November 07, 2018

Published: December 15, 2018

Citation: S. Hartini, D.D. Rahardjo and P. Purwaningsih, 2019. The Effects of rice hull inclusion and enzyme supplementation on the growth performance, digestive traits, dry matter and phosphorus content of intestinal digesta and feces of broiler chickens. *Int. J. Poultry Sci.*, 18: 21-27.

Corresponding Author: S. Hartini, Faculty of Animal Husbandry, Papua University, Jl. Gunung Salju Amban, Manokwari 98314, Papua Barat, Indonesia

Copyright: © 2019 S. Hartini *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

INTRODUCTION

The use of a less expensive feed ingredient while still maintaining bird growth has been practiced over the past few years in poultry industries. Feed ingredients used to formulate a diet could affect the development of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) and the utilization of nutrients in broiler chickens, thereby affecting the production performance of birds¹. Apart from what kind of feed ingredients are used in formulating broilers diets, it should be noted that the two main targets in poultry production are high growth rate and feed efficiency². The ban of antibiotics as growth promoters in poultry diets has led to animal performance problems and a rise in the incidence of certain poultry diseases. The use of probiotics, prebiotics and insoluble fiber in the diets has been explored as nutritional strategies to reduce the incidence of the problem.

Previous research on insoluble fiber or insoluble non-starch polysaccharides (iNSP) has demonstrated that iNSP had beneficial effects on nutrient utilization³, starch digestibility⁴ of broiler chickens and cannibalism in the laying hens⁵. Phytic acids contained in major feed ingredients for poultry have the capacity to bind phosphorus (P) forming phytate-P, which reduces P utilization⁶. The total P content in cereals up to 80% is in the form of phytate-P⁷. Phytase

supplementation has been reported to improve the use of phytate-P⁸⁻⁹. The higher the dose of phytase used, the more the P is released from phytate¹⁰. Cowieson *et al.*⁹ found that high doses of phytase (>1,000 U kg⁻¹ of diet) increased nutrient availability more than the use of lower (<1,000 U kg⁻¹) phytase activities. Recently, the use of the combination of phytase and carbohydrase is becoming of more growing interest than the use of phytase alone. It was assumed that phytase and carbohydrases can act synergistically in improving nutrient utilization⁶. This study was designed to determine the effect of rice hull inclusion and enzymes on the growth performance, digestive traits, dry matter and phosphorus content in feces and digesta of broiler chickens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental diets, animals and bird management: The diets used in the experiment were as follows: (1) corn-soybean-based diet as a control diet (CON), (2) 4% rice hull inclusion in the diet (RH), (3) RH+phytase 1750 FTU kg⁻¹ (RHP) and (4) RHP+cellulase 500 unit kg⁻¹ (RHPC). Rice hulls were ground through a hammer mill (2 mm screen) before being included in the diet. The composition and calculation of nutrients for the experimental diets are shown in Table 1. All diets were formulated isocaloric and isoprotein and met all

Table 1: Composition and nutrient content of the diets (as-fed basis)

| | CON (kg) | RH (kg) | RHP (kg) | RHPC (kg) |
|-------------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|
| Corn | 52.74 | 35.57 | 35.57 | 35.57 |
| Wheat bran | 8.79 | 16.17 | 16.17 | 16.17 |
| DDGS | 7.89 | 7.63 | 7.63 | 7.63 |
| SBM | 12.85 | 12.09 | 12.09 | 12.09 |
| PBPM | 14.31 | 15.56 | 15.56 | 15.56 |
| Palm olein | 0.62 | 6.38 | 6.38 | 6.38 |
| Rice hulls | - | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| NaCl | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| Lysin | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| DL-methionin | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| Mineral mix ¹⁾ | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Ca ₂ PO ₄ | 1.27 | 1.14 | 1.14 | 1.14 |
| CaCO ₃ | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Phytase 1750 FTU kg ⁻¹ | - | - | 100.00 | + |
| Cellulase 500 unit kg ⁻¹ | - | - | - | 262.36 |
| Calculated Analyses ²⁾ | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| ME (kcal kg⁻¹) | | | | |
| Protein (%) | 23.00 | 23.00 | 23.00 | 23.00 |
| Fat (%) | 4.74 | 10.31 | 10.31 | 10.31 |
| Crude Fiber (%) | 3.09 | 5.53 | 5.53 | 5.53 |
| Ca (%) | 1.00 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Total P (%) | 0.90 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Na (%) | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Lysin (%) | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 |
| Methionin (%) | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |

¹⁾Supplied per kg of diet (mg): Vitamin A (retinol): 3.0, Niacin: 30.1, Vit D3 (cholecalciferol): 0.05, Folic acid: 0.6, Vitamin E: 10.0, Vitamin K: 6.1, Thiamin: 3.2, Riboflavin: 12.0, Pyridoxine: 3.2, Vitamin B₁₂: 0.04, Cu: 8.8, Co: 1.0, Fe: 93.6, I: 4.8, Mn: 163.2, Zn: 120.0, Ca-d-pantothenate: 20.0. ²⁾Calculated to meet the nutrient requirement of broiler starter diets¹¹

nutrient recommendations of the NRC¹¹ for broiler starter diets. All experimental diets were provided as mash feed and were fed to broiler chickens from 3-21 days of age. The phytase used was *Escherichia coli*-derived phytase, Quantum Blue, ABVista Feed Ingredients, (Marlborough, UK). The standard recommended level of phytase was 100 g tonne⁻¹ to achieve the activity of 500 FTU kg⁻¹. The cellulase used was SQzyme CSP product, 20.000 unit g⁻¹, (Suntaq International Limited, Shenzhen, China).

A total of 200 male broiler chicks (strain Lohmann) three days old (initial body weight of 54.6±2.3 g) were randomly placed in 40 cages with 5 birds per cage and 10 cages (50 birds) per treatment. Each cage was equipped with 1 drinker and 1 feeder. Feed and water were offered *ad libitum* throughout the experiment. Cages were illuminated 24 h per day.

Growth performance: Body weight (BW) and feed intake (FI) were weighed by cage at 3, 7, 14 and 21 d of age. Average daily gain (ADG) (g b⁻¹ day⁻¹), average daily feed intake (ADFI) (g b⁻¹ day⁻¹) and the gain-to-feed ratio (G:F) (g g⁻¹) were determined periodically and cumulatively. Mortality was recorded daily during the experiment. Birds that died were weighed and their BW was included in the calculation of G:F. Feed intake was adjusted for mortality.

Sample collection and analyses: At the end of experiment (21 days of age) and after a period of 8 h of feed withdrawal, birds were weighed. One bird from each of ten replicates per treatment was selected based on proximity to average bird weight per cage and was slaughtered by dissecting the jugular vein.

Digestive organs weight, length and digestive contents: After the birds were killed, the body cavity was immediately opened and the GIT with content was removed and the following segments: gizzard, duodenum (from the end of gizzard to pancreo-biliary ducts), jejunum (measured from the end of duodenal loop to Meckel's diverticulum) and ileum (from Meckel's diverticulum to ileocecolic junction) was cut. The pancreas was also removed. The length of the duodenum, jejunum and ileum was measured to the nearest mm. After measuring the length, the content of duodenum, jejunum and ileum was collected, weighed and then mixed together to be analyzed for dry matter (DM) and phosphorus (P) content. The gizzard content was also collected. The weight of empty digestive organs (gizzard, duodenum, jejunum and ileum) including pancreas was expressed relative to 100 g live BW (without digesta) (g/100 g BW), whereas the weight of

digestive content and the length of duodenum, jejunum and ileum was expressed relative to 100 g live BW (g/100 g BW, cm/100 g BW, respectively).

Dry matter and phosphorus content: Dry matter digesta (mixed of duodenum, jejunum and ileum content) and feces were analyzed using standard AOAC method¹², whereas the P-contained in the digesta and feces was analyzed using a colorimetric method. The analyses were performed in a laboratory at the Nutrition and Food Study Center, University of Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

Statistical analysis: All treatment data obtained were analyzed statistically using one-way analysis of variance¹³. When F tests were significant, Duncan's multiple range test was applied to examine differences among group means. Statistical significance was accepted at $p \leq 0.05$.

RESULTS

Growth performance: The results of growth performance are shown in Table 2. From 3-7 days of age, there was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) found in ADG, ADFI and G:F but from 7-14 and 14-21 days of age, broilers within the RH groups gained more weight than those within the CON group (30.65 vs 27.60 g b⁻¹ day⁻¹ from 7-14 days; 51.19 vs 43.32 g b⁻¹ day⁻¹ from 14-21 days, $p \leq 0.05$, respectively). Furthermore, supplementation of phytase reduced ($p \leq 0.05$) ADG more than phytase and cellulase but had no effect ($p > 0.05$) on ADFI or G:F. Globally, from 3-21 days of age, ADG and G:F within the RH groups were better than those within the CON group ($p \leq 0.05$). Mortality was 1.0% and was not caused by any dietary treatment (data not shown).

Weight and length of digestive organs and weight of digestive content: Diets did not affect ($p > 0.05$) the weight of pancreas, length and relative weight of duodenum, jejunum and ileum, except for the gizzard (Table 3). At 21 days of age, broilers fed the RH treatment tended to have heavier gizzards without content ($p = 0.057$) than those fed the CON treatment (Table 3). Supplementation of phytase but not supplementation of phytase and cellulase, caused a reduction in the weight of empty gizzard. The inclusion of rice hulls did not affect ($p > 0.05$) the contents of gizzard, duodenum and ileum but increased ($p \leq 0.05$) the contents of the jejunum (Table 3). The addition of enzymes on the RH reduced the contents of jejunum the same as those in the CON treatment ($p \leq 0.05$).

Table 2: Growth performance response of diets

| | 3-7 days of age | 7-14 days of age | 14-21 days of age | 3-21 days of age |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| ADG (g b⁻¹ day⁻¹) | | | | |
| CON | 14.870 | 27.600 ^a | 43.320 ^a | 28.600 ^a |
| RH | 15.450 | 30.650 ^b | 51.190 ^c | 32.430 ^c |
| RHP | 14.430 | 28.560 ^{ab} | 45.62 ^{ab} | 29.26 ^{ab} |
| RHPC | 14.880 | 30.190 ^b | 49.37 ^{bc} | 31.480 ^{bc} |
| SEM ¹ | 0.180 | 0.424 | 0.899 | 0.438 |
| p-value | 0.270 | 0.030 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| ADFI (g b⁻¹ day⁻¹) | | | | |
| CON | 21.840 | 49.460 | 46.720 | 39.570 |
| RH | 23.090 | 52.070 | 45.550 | 40.240 |
| RHP | 21.180 | 47.440 | 42.340 | 37.560 |
| RHPC | 22.100 | 49.550 | 44.460 | 38.700 |
| SEM ¹ | 0.286 | 0.744 | 2.625 | 0.503 |
| p-value | 0.120 | 0.180 | 0.480 | 0.300 |
| G:F (g g⁻¹) | | | | |
| CON | 0.682 | 0.560 | 0.939 | 0.728 ^a |
| RH | 0.670 | 0.590 | 1.126 | 0.807 ^{ab} |
| RHP | 0.681 | 0.603 | 1.091 | 0.781 ^b |
| RHPC | 0.674 | 0.632 | 1.046 | 0.819 ^b |
| SEM ¹ | 0.008 | 0.015 | 0.041 | 0.011 |
| p-value | 0.940 | 0.420 | 0.420 | 0.050 |

¹SEM: Standard error of the mean, CON: Control diet, RH: 4% rice hull inclusion, RHP: RH+phytase 1750 FTU kg⁻¹, RHPC: RHP+cellulase 500 unit kg⁻¹, ^{a,b,c}mean values within a column with different superscripts differ significantly (p≤0.05)

Table 3: Diet response on all digestive traits measured at 21 days of age

| | SEM ¹ | p-value | CON | Treatment | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | | | | RH | RHP | RHPC |
| GIT weight (g/ 100 g BW) | | | | | | |
| Empty gizzard | 0.068 | 0.057 | 1.97 ^{ab} | 2.10 ^b | 1.67 ^a | 2.14 ^b |
| Empty duodenum | 0.026 | 0.68 | 0.79 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| Empty jejunum | 0.049 | 0.45 | 1.50 | 1.66 | 1.54 | 1.70 |
| Empty ileum | 0.036 | 0.47 | 1.05 | 1.18 | 1.06 | 1.16 |
| Pancreas | 0.002 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| GIT length (cm/ 100 g BW) | | | | | | |
| Duodenum length | 0.064 | 0.26 | 3.18 | 3.49 | 3.38 | 3.50 |
| Jejunum length | 0.172 | 0.72 | 8.20 | 8.54 | 8.06 | 8.51 |
| Ileum length | 0.181 | 0.97 | 8.60 | 8.78 | 8.52 | 8.68 |
| GIT content (g/100 g BW) | | | | | | |
| Gizzard content | 0.063 | 0.87 | 1.16 | 1.24 | 1.18 | 1.30 |
| Duodenum content | 0.020 | 0.68 | 0.31 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.35 |
| Jejunum content | 0.056 | 0.03 | 0.57 ^a | 0.89 ^b | 0.46 ^a | 0.55 ^a |
| Ileum content | 0.085 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.90 | 0.63 | 0.71 |
| DM digesta (%) | 0.949 | 0.28 | 13.90 | 17.90 | 19.20 | 16.00 |
| DM feces (%) | 0.432 | 0.01 | 24.60 ^a | 23.90 ^a | 24.10 ^a | 27.30 ^b |
| P digesta (%) | 0.012 | 0.00 | 0.64 ^c | 0.58 ^b | 0.53 ^{ab} | 0.50 ^a |
| P feces (%) | 0.011 | 0.03 | 0.66 ^{ab} | 0.66 ^{ab} | 0.71 ^b | 0.62 ^a |

¹SEM: Standard error of the mean, CON: Control diet, RH: 4% rice hull inclusion, RHP: RH+phytase 1750 FTU kg⁻¹, RHPC: RHP+cellulase 500 unit kg⁻¹, ^{a,b,c}mean values within a row with different superscripts differ significantly (p≤0.05)

Dry matter and P content of digesta and feces: The inclusion of rice hulls did not affect (p>0.05) digesta DM or fecal DM but supplementation of enzymes on RH affected (p≤0.05) fecal DM (Table 3). Phytase and cellulase supplementation caused higher (p≤0.05) fecal DM than other treatments, which were not significantly different. Broilers fed the RH treatment had higher (p≤0.05) P-disappearance in digesta than those fed the CON treatment. Supplementation of enzymes increased the P-disappearance in the digesta, an effect that was more pronounced for phytase and cellulase than an individual

phytase. The results on P-excretion showed that broilers fed the RH treatment had the same P-excretion as those fed the CON treatment (Table 3). Supplementation of phytase increased the P-excretion, whereas supplementation of phytase and cellulase decreased the P-excretion (p≤0.05).

DISCUSSION

Growth performance was affected by rice hull inclusion in the diet. From 3-21 days of age, the improvement observed

was approximately 13% for ADG and approximately 11% for G:F. Similar findings were observed in previous studies with sunflower and rice hulls¹⁴ or oat hulls and soy hulls³. During this period, the ADFI of the birds was not affected by the diets, indicating that young broilers respond positively to the inclusion of 40 g kg⁻¹ rice hulls in the diet by improving feed utilization. Jimenez-Moreno *et al.*¹⁴ found that the inclusion of 25 g kg⁻¹ rice hulls improved the feed to gain ratio when diet was offered in mash form but no difference was detected when the level was increased to 50 g kg⁻¹ in the same form. In addition, there was a decrease in G:F when diet was offered in pelleted form. Hartini and Purwaningsih¹⁵ found that addition of 40 g kg⁻¹ rice hulls in a commercial starter diet did not improve ADG and ADFI but observed an increase in carcass weight. However, Sadeghi *et al.*¹⁶ supplemented 30 g kg⁻¹ rice hulls in the basal diet and found no effects on BW and carcass weight compared to the control diet. The information provided and the results found in the present study suggest that the effects of rice hulls inclusion on growth performance depends on the type of diet offered, the composition of the basal diets used and the manner in which the rice hulls were incorporated into the diet. Supplementation of either phytase and cellulase or phytase on the RH did not improve G:F. The G:F was greater for the phytase-cellulase than the individual phytase and it has been suggested that this is due to synergistic action between phytase and carbohydrase in improving nutrient utilization⁶.

There was a reduction in the ADG by phytase supplementation. The reason for this finding is unknown but might be related to the release of P due to phytase supplementation. Waldroup *et al.*¹⁷ found that at higher levels of P at which the dietary level was sufficient, the addition of phytase had no significant effect on body weight. In the current study, the nutrient level was formulated to meet the broilers requirement. The P-phytate degraded by phytase might increase the absorption of P, which in addition, can interfere with homeostasis of the other nutrients and result in reduced growth rate¹⁸. Our data support the suggestion; the P-disappearance in digesta of broilers fed diets supplemented with enzymes was higher compared to others.

Increases in gizzard size due to insoluble fiber have been reported due to the muscular activity of the gizzard during its physiological function to reduce particle size¹⁹. The result in our study supports the suggestion. There was a 7% increase in empty gizzard weight by the inclusion of 40 g kg⁻¹ rice hulls (2 mm in size). The increase, however, was 28% lower than the results when 30 g kg⁻¹ ground oat hulls (2 mm in size) was included in the diet³. Sadeghi *et al.*¹⁶, on the other hand, found that addition of 30 g kg⁻¹ rice hulls (2 mm in size) did not

affect gizzard weight. This finding indicates that the effect of insoluble fiber on gizzard activity was more depended on chemical characteristics of the fiber²⁰ and diet composition than the particle size and that the stimulatory effect of rice hull on the gizzard was less than that of oat hulls at the same particle size.

All insoluble fibers which increase the gizzard size would substantially increase the gizzard content¹⁹. The gizzard content in the present study was not different among diets. This is understandable since the gizzard's weight increase in this study was only 7%, therefore the increase in its content was also barely noticed, in contrast to Gonzalez-Alvarado *et al.*³ where the increase of the gizzard weight was 35%. However, the improvement of ADG on birds given the RH treatment indicated that the presence of insoluble fiber in the gizzard is important to enhance the gizzard physiological activity in improving nutrient utilization. Supplementation of phytase but not supplementation of phytase and cellulase, in the RH treatment reduced the weight of empty gizzard. Hetland and Svihus²¹ also found a reduction in weight of digestive tract when oat-based diets were supplemented with enzymes. The reduction of empty gizzard weight might be related to the improvement of enzyme production and nutrient digestibility due to the inclusion moderate amount of fiber²². The supplementation of enzymes would further improve the nutrient digestibility and thereby reduced the muscular activity of the gizzard.

The inclusion of rice hulls increased the contents of the jejunum. Although, it was generally known that insoluble fibers results in more rapid passage, however inclusion of insoluble fibers in moderate amounts could slow the feed passage at least in the front part of the GIT¹⁹. The slow of digesta passage rate might result in reducing the fecal DM of broilers fed the RH. Supplementation of phytase and cellulase increased the rate of digesta passage and as a consequence increased the DM of the feces.

The reduction of P excretion found in the present study is in agreement with the results reported by Powell *et al.*²³ and Li *et al.*²⁴ that microbial phytase addition could increase P-disappearance in the gut and reduce P excretion in the environment.

CONCLUSION

The inclusion of 40 g kg⁻¹ rice hulls can improve growth performance of young broilers. Supplementation of phytase and cellulase increases the P-disappearance in the digesta and reduces the P-excreta better than the supplementation of phytase alone.

SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

The study demonstrated that the rice hulls can be included in the diet up to 40 g kg⁻¹ and still have a beneficial effect with regard to growth performance of young broilers and that the combination of phytase and cellulase has a greater effect than supplementation of phytase alone in increasing the P-disappearance and reducing P-excreta. The amount of insoluble fiber inclusion in the diet influences the physiological activity of digestive tract.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to the Minister of Research and Technology of Higher Education Republic of Indonesia for the funding through contract No. 059/SP2H/L.T/DRPM/II/2016, February 17th, 2016, under the decentralized research funding, Hibah Bersaing research. I also wish to acknowledge PT. Japfa Comfeed Tbk for its support in providing the research feedstuff.

REFERENCES

1. Yegani, M. and D.R. Korver, 2008. Factors affecting intestinal health in poultry. *Poult. Sci.*, 87: 2052-2063.
2. Sugiharto, S., 2016. Role of nutraceuticals in gut health and growth performance of poultry. *J. Saudi Soc. Agric. Sci.*, 15: 99-111.
3. Gonzalez-Alvarado, J.M., E. Jimenez-Moreno, R. Lazaro and G.G. Mateos, 2007. Effect of type of cereal, heat processing of the cereal and inclusion of fiber in the diet on productive performance and digestive traits of broilers. *Poult. Sci.*, 86: 1705-1715.
4. Hetland, H., B. Svihus and A. Krogdahl, 2003. Effects of oat hulls and wood shavings on digestion in broilers and layers fed diets based on whole or ground wheat. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 44: 275-282.
5. Hartini, S., M. Choct, G. Hinch, A. Kocher and J.V. Nolan, 2002. Effects of light intensity during rearing and beak trimming and dietary fiber sources on mortality, egg production and performance of ISA brown laying hens. *J. Applied Poult. Res.*, 11: 104-110.
6. Woyengo, T.A. and C.M. Nyachoti, 2011. Supplementation of phytase and carbohydrases to diets for poultry. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, 91: 177-192.
7. Israel, D.W., P. Kwanyuen and J.W. Burton, 2006. Genetic variability for phytic acid phosphorus and inorganic phosphorus in seeds of soybeans in maturity groups V, VI and VII. *Crop Sci.*, 46: 67-71.
8. Baidoo, S.K., Q.M. Yang and R.D. Walker, 2003. Effects of phytase on apparent digestibility of organic phosphorus and nutrients in maize-soya bean meal based diets for sows. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 104: 133-141.
9. Cowieson, A.J., T. Acamovic and M.R. Bedford, 2006. Supplementation of corn-soy-based diets with an *Escherichia coli*-derived phytase: Effects on broiler chick performance and the digestibility of amino acids and metabolizability of minerals and energy. *Poult. Sci.*, 85: 1389-1397.
10. Augspurger, N.R. and D.H. Baker, 2004. High dietary phytase levels maximize phytate-phosphorus utilization but do not affect protein utilization in chicks fed phosphorus- or amino acid-deficient diets. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 82: 1100-1107.
11. NRC., 1994. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry. 9th Edn., National Academy Press, Washington, DC., USA., ISBN-13: 9780309048927, Pages: 155.
12. AOAC., 2000. Official Methods of Analysis. 17th Edn., Association of Official Analytical Chemistry, Arlington, VA., USA.
13. SPSS., 2007. SPSS 16.0 Command Syntax Reference. SPSS Inc., Chicago Ill.
14. Jimenez-Moreno, E., A. de Coca-Sinova, J.M. Gonzalez-Alvarado and G.G. Mateos, 2016. Inclusion of insoluble fiber sources in mash or pellet diets for young broilers. 1. Effects on growth performance and water intake. *Poult. Sci.*, 95: 41-52.
15. Hartini, S. and P. Purwaningsih, 2017. Effects of adding insoluble non-starch polysaccharides and exogenous enzymes to a commercial broiler diet on the growth performance and carcass weight of broiler chickens Pak. *J. Nutr.*, 16: 227-235.
16. Sadeghi, A., M. Toghyani and A. Gheisari, 2015. Effect of various fiber types and choice feeding of fiber on performance, gut development, humoral immunity and fiber preference in broiler chicks. *Poult. Sci.*, 94: 2734-2743.
17. Waldroup, P.W., J.H. Kersey, E.A. Saleh, C.A. Fritts and F. Yan *et al.*, 2000. Nonphytate phosphorus requirement and phosphorus excretion of broiler chicks fed diets composed of normal or high available phosphate corn with and without microbial phytase. *Poult. Sci.*, 79: 1451-1459.
18. Shafey, T.M., M.W. McDonald and R.A.E. Pym, 1990. Effects of dietary calcium, available phosphorus and vitamin D on growth rate, food utilisation, plasma and bone constituents and calcium and phosphorus retention of commercial broiler strains. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 31: 587-602.
19. Svihus, B., 2011. The gizzard: Function, influence of diet structure and effects on nutrient availability. *World's Poult. Sci. J.*, 67: 207-224.

20. Montagne, L., J.R. Pluske and D.J. Hampson, 2003. A review of interactions between dietary fibre and the intestinal mucosa and their consequences on digestive health in young non-ruminant animals. *Anim. Feed. Sci. Technol.*, 108:95-117.
21. Hetland, H. and B. Svihus, 2001. Effect of oat hulls on performance, gut capacity and feed passage time in broiler chickens. *Br. Poult. Sci.*, 42: 354-361.
22. Mateos, G.G., E. Jimenez-Moreno, M.P. Serrano and R.P. Lazaro, 2012. Poultry response to high levels of dietary fiber sources varying in physical and chemical characteristics. *J. Applied Poult. Res.*, 21: 156-174.
23. Powell, S., S. Johnston, L. Gaston and L.L. Southern, 2008. The effect of dietary phosphorus level and phytase supplementation on growth performance, bone-breaking strength and litter phosphorus concentration in broilers. *Poult. Sci.*, 87: 949-957.
24. Li, W., R. Angel, S.W. Kim, K. Brady, S. Yu and P.W. Plumstead, 2016. Impacts of dietary calcium, phytate and nonphytate phosphorus concentrations in the presence or absence of phytase on inositol hexakisphosphate (IP6) degradation in different segments of broilers digestive tract. *Poult. Sci.*, 95: 581-589.