

P-ISSN: 2338-8617

E-ISSN: 2443-2067

Jurnal Ilmiah
PEURADEUN



Vol. 8, No. 1, January 2020

 **Clarivate**
Analytics

Emerging Sources Citation Index

Web of Science™



INDEX  COPERNICUS

I N T E R N A T I O N A L



SCAD Independent
Accreditation by IAO since 2014
 Copernicus Publications
The Innovative Open Access Publisher

JIP
The International Journal of Social Sciences
www.journal.scadIndependent.org
DOI Prefix Number: 10.26811



ACCREDITED "B" by the Ministry of Ristekdikti
from October 30, 2017 until October 30, 2022

**Potential of Inquiry-Based Learning to Train Student's
Metacognitive and Science Process Skill**

**Insar Damopolii¹; Umar Keley²; Devi Tutut Rianjani³; Jan Hendriek Nunaki⁴;
Elya Nusantari⁵; Novri Youla Kandowangko⁶**

^{1,2,3,4}Universitas Papua, Indonesia

^{5,6}Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia

Article in Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun

Available at : <https://journal.scadindependent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun/article/view/351>

DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.26811/peuradeun.v8i1.351>

How to Cite this Article

APA : Damopolii, I., Keley, U., Rianjani, D., Nunaki, J., Nusantari, E., & Kandowangko, N. (2020). Potential of Inquiry-Based Learning to Train Student's Metacognitive and Science Process Skill. *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*, 8(1), 83-98. doi:10.26811/peuradeun.v8i1.351

Others Visit : <https://journal.scadindependent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun>

Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun, the International Journal of Social Sciences, is a leading peer-reviewed and open-access journal, which publishes scholarly work, and specializes in the Social Sciences, consolidates fundamental and applied research activities with a very wide ranging coverage. This can include studies and reviews conducted by multidisciplinary teams, as well as research that evaluates or reports on the results of scientific teams. JIP published 3 times of year (January, May, and September) with p-ISSN: 2338-8617 and e-ISSN: 2443-2067. Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun has become a CrossRef Member. Therefore, all articles published will have unique DOI number, and JIP also has been accredited by the Ministry of Research Technology and Higher Education Republic of Indonesia (SK Dirjen PRP RistekDikti No. 48a/KPT/2017). This accreditation is effective from October 30, 2017 until October 30, 2022.

JIP published by SCAD Independent. All articles published in this journal are protected by copyright, licensed under a CC-BY-SA or an equivalent license as the optimal license for the publication, distribution, use, and reuse of scholarly works. Any views expressed in this publication are the views of the authors and not of Editorial Board Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun (JIP) or SCAD Independent. JIP or SCAD Independent cannot be held responsible for views, opinions and written statements of authors or researchers published in this journal. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of the research material. Authors alone are responsible for the contents of their articles.

JIP indexed/included in Web of Science, MAS, Index Copernicus International, Sinta, Garuda, Scilit, Sherpa/Romeo, Google Scholar, OAJI, Crossref, BASE, ROAD, GIF, Advanced Science Index, JournalTOCs, ISI, SIS, ESJL, ASI, SSRN, ResearchGate, Mendeley and **others**.





POTENTIAL OF INQUIRY-BASED LEARNING TO TRAIN STUDENTS' METACOGNITIVE AND SCIENCE PROCESS SKILL

Insar Damopolii¹; Umar Keley²; Devi Tutut Rianjani³; Jan Hendriek Nunaki⁴; Elya Nusantari⁵; Novri Youla Kandowanko⁶

^{1,2,3,4}Universitas Papua, Indonesia

^{5,6}Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Indonesia

¹Contributor Email: i.damopoli@unipa.ac.id

Received: Oct 25, 2018

Accepted: May 29, 2019

Published: Jan 30, 2020

Article Url: <https://journal.scadindependent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun/article/view/351>

Abstract

The learning process in high schools in West Papua tends to focus on students' cognitive enhancement and ignore the aspect skill. School is the frontline to develop students' skills. Metacognitive and process skills are the two skills needed by the students, and both should be trained and developed. These two skills help students to implement an investigation process in which the investigation process can be well utilized using inquiry-based learning. This study aims to find out the differences in metacognitive and process skills between students treated with inquiry-based learning and those who are taught using conventional learning. This experimental study was carried out at Grade X of senior high school students of SMA Negeri 1 Manokwari. The result reveals a significant metacognitive skill of $0.000 < \alpha < 0.05$, and science process skill of $0.001 < \alpha < 0.05$ of students who were taught using the inquiry-based learning more than those who were taught using the conventional learning. It can be concluded that there is a significant improvement in students' metacognitive and process skills for those who are taught using inquiry-based learning compared to those who are taught using conventional learning. Therefore, inquiry-based learning has the potential to appropriately train students' metacognitive and science process skill.

Keywords: *Inquiry-Based Learning; Investigation; Metacognitive skill; Science Process Skill.*



A. Introduction

A learning process will be enjoyable when it is delivered using interesting methods. The classic verbal learning method is still one of the most implemented methods (Vácha & Rokos, 2017). Based on the observation, teachers in Senior High Schools in Papua Barat have designed learning based on the 2013 curriculum, yet, the implementation has not been as expected, and teachers are facing challenges in implementing this curriculum. Teachers focus deeply on students' cognitive assessment, whereas their skills assessment is yet to be carried out.

Even, the observation result also revealed that the average students' learning outcome in biology in one of the Public Senior high school in Manokwari was only 35.42 and in private high school was 53.45. It is clear from the data that students are yet to achieve success in learning. Thus, they need to be taught the skills to be successful in learning. It becomes even more urgent for students to be taught skills as the time now demands them to be prepared to compete globally. School is the frontline to develop students' skills. Metacognitive and science process skills are the two types of skills that need to be developed, especially in science classes such as, biology.

For students to appropriately accomplish their tasks, they need to be taught various skills, one of them is a metacognitive skill (An & Cao, 2014; Veenman & Spaans, 2005). Metacognitive skill plays a role in the students' success (Wang, Chen, Fang, & Chou, 2014). When students' metacognitive skills are developed, they will become good individuals and become active learners (Zull, 2012). Students will be able to understand a topic when they are involved in direct interaction with the environment where they obtain authentic problems (Lameras et al., 2014; Loyens & Gijbels, 2008).

Students' involvement with their environment can be carried out a thorough investigation. In this kind of investigation, science process skill is urgently needed. Science process skill is needed when students conduct an investigation (Damopolii, Hasan, & Kandowangko, 2015). It is clear here that investigation activity needs science process skills.

Investigation/research can only be properly carried out when students are actively involved in the investigation process. For this to happen

and for them to be able to accomplish their tasks, metacognitive skills are also needed to be developed. On the other hand, learning implementation in the classroom also influences students' success in learning. Appropriate learning is needed for biology class. Inquiry learning is an appropriate learning method that suits the characteristics of biology, learning that immerses students into authentic problems through investigation/inquiry process.

Exploration through nature observation needs to be taught to students (Ango, 2002). In the future, extensive research needs to be carried out to develop metacognitive skills in inquiry learning (Chen, Huang, & Chou, 2016; Hogan, Dwyer, Harney, Noone, & Conway, 2015; Sánchez-Alonso & Vovides, 2007). Where concepts can be easily understood, theorems can be mastered, and facts can be revealed through authentic inquiry activities in inquiry-based learning. Hence, students can become thinkers and this process will influence students' science process skills (Levy, Thomas, Drago, & Rex, 2013; Myers & Dyer, 2005; Yakar & Baykara, 2014).

Students' metacognitive skill is reflected in how they understand science, how they learn a concept in learning, and how they understand the concept within a topic taught by their teacher (Thomas, 2013). Science education aims to develop students' metacognitive skills (Zohar & Barzilai, 2013). Teaching methods employed by teachers influence students' metacognitive development (Case & Gunstone, 2002), and inquiry learning is one of the alternatives to develop students' metacognitive development. Students' metacognitive skill determines the increase in students' learning process within the class (Thomas, 2003). Meanwhile, in a biology lesson, science process skill (throughout this paper will be referred to as SPS) is a tool for students to carry out an investigation during the learning process. SPS can also be trained in inquiry learning.

Various studies have shown the influence of inquiry learning on students' metacognitive (Aswadi, Fadiawati, & Abdurrahman, 2018; Fitriana & Haryani, 2016; Fitriyani, Corebima, & Ibrohim, 2015; Hofstein et al., 2018; Nunaki, Damopolii, Kandowangko, & Nusantari, 2019) and science process skill (Audityo, Hairida, & Rasmawan, 2017; Budiyono, 2016; Damopolii, Hasan, & Kandowangko, 2015; Hardianti & Kuswanto, 2017;



Koksal & Berberoglu, 2014; Savitri, Wusqo, Ardhi, & Putra, 2017). Metacognitive helps students during an investigation (Bruckermann, Aschermann, Bresges, & Schlüter, 2017; Zhang, Hsu, Wang, & Ho, 2015). Zhang et al., (2015) in their study revealed that the analysis process in inquiry learning demands students' metacognitive skills.

This is shown that the metacognitive skill of the students is developing. Following the analysis, the last stage of inquiry is conclusion drawing, where the formulation of the conclusion is part of SPS. It is shown that inquiry-based learning has the potential to train students' metacognitive and SPS. The inquiry has a good influence on students' metacognitive (Nunaki et al., 2019). 16.48 % of metacognitive was influenced by inquiry learning (Muna, Haryani, & Susilaningsih, 2016). In this study, it was revealed that inquiry learning only provided a small contribution. Thus further development is needed. Studies Linanti, Anwar, & Santoso, (2017) showed that inquiry learning influenced metacognitive skills by an average of 51.1.

On the other hand, it was found that the effect of inquiry learning on SPS is 29.16 %. Similarly, Ogan-Bekiroğlu & Arslan, (2014) in their study on inquiry learning utilization in science class found that inquiry learning could better train SPS in science learning. In SPS evaluation, they used multiple-choice questions. We considered that a shifting paradigm is needed in measuring SPS on science learning. The essay test could be utilized to measure the SPS of the learners and it could better explore the SPS in learning. At the end of their paper, they suggested to use inquiry learning and to study the effects on students.

Different findings are by Campbell, Zhang, & Neilson, (2011) that on the first SPS test, students' in-group control on average obtained a higher score than SPS learners in experiment class (students who treated with the inquiry learning). On the second SPS test, the SPS of the learners in both groups were lower than the first test; however, on the third test, the result was higher than the first and second test. Nevertheless, their result showed that there were no significant differences in SPS between the two groups. They expected further implementation of inquiry-based learning to improve the learning process.

Based on the observation, results, and recommendations from previous studies, metacognitive skill and science process skills need to be trained to high school students in Manokwari by using inquiry-based learning. The research question in this study are: (1) are there any metacognitive differences between learners in inquiry-based learning group and those in the conventional learning group? And (2) are there any science process skill differences between the inquiry-based learning group and those of conventional learning groups? Therefore, this study will discuss the metacognitive and science process skills of students in inquiry-based learning.

B. Method

This experimental research used the posttest-only control group design (Fraenkel, Wallen, & Hyun, 2011). It was conducted in the second semester in the academic year of 2017-2018 in the senior high school SMAN 01. In this study, 59 subjects were taken from grade X which consisted of 27 subjects from grade X2 (as the experiment group) and 32 subjects from grade X5 (serves as the control group). These subjects were selected using a purposive sampling technique.

The instrument to assess the metacognitive skill of the students was a 9-item descriptive question integrated into the learning outcome test. The Corebima rubric assessment was used to determine the level of students' metacognitive skills (Corebima, 2009). This rubric comprised of 8 levels of description to describe students' metacognitive skills. Each description had its score. The lowest score was 0, and the highest was 7. This rubric assessment comprised students' ability to answer the question, from unable to appropriately responds to the question, answering the question with their answer or not, the answer language was logically and systematically structured, and usage of several critical analysis to describe the answer.

To assess students' science process skills, descriptive questions were used. The science process skill was assessed using observation, formulating a problem statement, creating a hypothesis, assessing, communicating, and drawing a conclusion. The science process skill score range was from 0 - 4. The number of test items for this science process skill was six items.

Metacognitive test skill and science process skills were to be used following the validation from three experts. The validation result showed



that the metacognitive skill test score was 95.83% and the science process skill test score was 86.90%. The validation result of these two instruments showed that the instruments were valid and thus, can be used.

In classroom learning, the lesson plan used had a validity score of 97.69 % (valid), student worksheet validity score was 93.52 % (valid) student book validity score was 87.01 % (valid). The data analysis in the form of descriptive data by using achievement percentage and inferential analysis using an independent t-test to find out the differences between metacognitive and science process skills of the experiment and control groups. Prior to this, the least significant test, the prerequisite test: normality test through Kolmogorov Smirnov test and homogeneity test of the Levene test was administered.

C. Finding and Discussion

1. Finding

This study is aimed at finding out the differences between the metacognitive and science process skills of students who were taught using inquiry-based learning and those who were taught using conventional learning. The data presented below is the comparison of the metacognitive and science skill process skills of students who were taught using inquiry-based learning and conventional learning.




Figure 1. Comparison graphic of achievement percentage in metacognitive skill and science process skill



Based on Figure 1 above, it is found that the highest percentage of students' metacognitive skill achievement in the experiment group ranges from moderate to excellent by 74.01%. Whereas in the control group, regardless of the category, it is still moderate to excellent, the achievement in metacognitive skill was only 59.38%. In addition, in the low and very low category, the percentage in the experiment group was only 25.93%. This percentage is smaller than the control group score, which score was 40.63%. These percentage data showed that inquiry-based learning could train the metacognitive skill of the students compared to the conventional learning method.

Similar findings are also found in students' science process skills. The degree of metacognitive skill achievement is within good to the excellent category in the experiment group by 85.19%. The obtained score is larger compared to the percentage of achievement of students' metacognitive skills in the control group which was only 56.25%. On the other hand, in the low and very low category, the experiment group achievement was very small by only 14.81%, whereas in the control group the achievement was 43.75%. This data indicates that inquiry-based learning has the potential to train the science process skill of the students compared to conventional learning. The detail achievement for each indicator of science process skill in experiment and control groups is presented in Figure 2 below.




Figure 2. Comparison graphic of science process skill indicators: (1) observation; (2) formulating problem statement; (3) creating hypothesis; (4) measuring; (5) communicating; and (6) drawing a conclusion.



The data from the Figure 2 above shows that one of the indicators (communicating) in experiment group achieved a very good category, whereas, three indicators (observing, formulating a hypothesis, and assessing) were within a good category, whereas for two indicators, formulating problem statement and drawing conclusion was able to achieve an average category. Meanwhile, in control group, two indicators, observing and assessing achieved a good category, one indicator (communicating) achieved an average category, one indicator (concluding) achieved a low category, and two other indicators (formulating the problem statement and creating hypothesis) achieved a very low indicator.

Based on these data, it is found that students who were taught using inquiry-based learning their science process skills were better compared to the students who were taught using conventional learning. In inquiry-based learning, there was no excellent category as well as no low and very low category. Whereas, in conventional learning, there was no indicator with the excellent category; however, there were some indicators with a low and very low category.




Figure 3. Comparative graphic of mean (1) metacognitive skill and (2) science process skill

Based on the above figure, it is shown that the average metacognitive skill and science process skill between the experiment and control groups are different. The metacognitive skill of the students in the experiment group is only in the moderate category, nevertheless, this achievement is better than those who are in the control group, who only achieved a low category. This means that inquiry-based learning is appropriate for training the students' metacognitive skills.



In science processing skill, it is found that the average of science process skill (SPS) of the students taught using inquiry-based learning (IBL) is in a good category, whereas, those taught using the conventional learning, their SPS is only in an average category.

Table 1. The normality test result of the metacognitive and science process skills

Data	Group	Statistic	df	Sig.	Normality
Metacognitive Skill	Experimental	0,082	27	0,200	Yes
	Control	0,148	32	0,074	Yes
Science Process Skill	Experimental	0,140	27	0,188	Yes
	Control	0,108	32	0,200	Yes

Data from table 1 show that the metacognitive skill and the science process skill have a normal distribution. Out of these data, the significance value is more than 0.05. Therefore, further analysis is carried out to test the homogeneity of the data. The homogeneity of the data is presented in Table 2 below:

Table 2. The homogeneity test result of the metacognitive and science process skills

Based on Mean	Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.	Homogeneity
Metacognitive Skill	3,115	1	57	0,083	Yes
Science Process Skill	1,579	1	57	0,214	Yes

Data from Table 2 reveal that the significance of metacognitive skill and science process skills is more significant than 0.05. This result indicates that the data have a homogenous variance. Thus the parametric test can be carried out through an independent t-test.

Table 3. T-test result of metacognitive and science process skills

Data	t	df	Sig.	Notes
Metacognitive Skill	6,985	57	0,000	There is difference
Science process skill	3,476	57	0,001	There is difference



Data from table 3 above show that the significance value is $0.000 < 0.05$, which indicates that there is a significant difference in the metacognitive skill of students who are taught using inquiry-based learning and those who are taught using conventional learning. In this table 3 as well, the significance value is $0.001 < 0.05$, indicating that there is a significant difference in science process skill between the students who are taught applying the inquiry-based learning and those who are taught using the conventional learning.

2. Discussion

In IBL, learning is implemented using the guideline or direction to assist students in conducting an investigation. Damopolii et al. (2015) state that IBL creates learners who are interested in learning through the investigation process. The implemented inquiry learning is initiated by a teacher who presents a problem within a school environment. Students formulate a problem statement that they must solve. In this problem-solving process, students' critical thinking is developed, where they need their metacognitive skills. Metacognitive skill serves to control the students' thinking process (Suwono, Susanti, & Lestari, 2017). On the other hand, when they present a problem statement, their science process skill is trained, as presenting a problem statement is a science process skill. Here, it is clear that at the beginning of the learning session, students have been trained to optimize their metacognitive and science process skills.

This is different from the students in the control class. They do not actively participate in solving the problem and formulating the problem statement. The teacher only provides an example of a problem from a natural phenomenon and provides an example of a problem statement without having them to actively participate in direct observation and formulating a problem statement.

This is clear that in conventional learning, metacognitive skill and science process skill are not well-trained; hence, conventional learning has no potential to train these two skills. This statement is also backed up by the



finding presented in Figure 2, where it is clear that there is a 15 points difference in students' ability to formulate problems statement between those in experiment and control class. In addition, Figure 1 also shows that the students' metacognitive skill gap is 7.89% in excellent category and 13.43% in the good category. Even though the average students' metacognitive skill in experiment skills only achieves a moderate category, this achievement is still better than those in the control group.

The findings in this study support the findings of the previous studies which conclude that inquiry-based learning can successfully increase students' metacognitive skill (Adnan & Bahri, 2018), as well as increase students' science process skill (Damopolii et al., 2018; Yakar & Baykara, 2014), especially for high school students as the subject of this study. Even though their result in metacognitive skill and science process skill do not achieve excellent category, the usage of inquiry-based learning still has better potential to train their metacognitive and science process skill compared to the conventional learning.

D. Conclusion

Based on the findings and discussion in this study, it can be concluded that inquiry-based learning has the potential to train the metacognitive and science process skills of the students compared to conventional learning. Inquiry-based learning can train metacognitive skills moderately and process the skill of science well. This finding serves as a reference for senior high school teachers as their initial step to developing both skills. The development of these two skills in an effort to prepare students to be able to compete and strive in this globalization era. The limitation of this study is that the applied inquiry-based learning is yet able to increase the metacognitive and science process skills of the students to the excellent category. For this reason, future research is expected to more comprehensively study this issue with its variations to be implemented in the learning process for a better outcome.



Bibliography

- Adnan, & Bahri, A. (2018). Beyond effective teaching: Enhancing students' metacognitive skills through guided inquiry. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 954, 12022.
- An, Y.-J., & Cao, L. (2014). Examining the effects of metacognitive scaffolding on students' design problem solving and metacognitive skills in an online environment. *Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 10(4), 552-568.
- Ango, M. L. (2002). Mastery of science process skills and their effective use in the teaching of science: an educology of science education in the Nigerian context. *Online Submission*, 16(1), 11-30.
- Aswadi, R., Fadiawati, N., & Abdurrahman. (2018). Meningkatkan kemampuan metakognisi siswa pada pembelajaran fisika menggunakan lembar kerja siswa berbasis inkuiri terbimbing. *Jurnal Inovasi Dan Pembelajaran Fisika*, 5(1), 43-54.
- Audityo, M. S., Hairida, & Rasmawan, R. (2017). Pengaruh model inkuiri terbimbing terhadap keterampilan proses sains dalam materi laju reaksi pada siswa SMK. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran*, 6(8), 1-9
- Bruckermann, T., Aschermann, E., Bresges, A., & Schlüter, K. (2017). Metacognitive and multimedia support of experiments in inquiry learning for science teacher preparation. *International Journal of Science Education*, 39(6), 701-722.
- Budiyono, A. (2016). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Inkuiri Terbimbing terhadap Keterampilan Proses Sains Siswa SMA. *WACANA DIDAKTIKA*, 4(2), 141-149.
- Campbell, T., Zhang, D., & Neilson, D. (2011). Model based inquiry in the high school physics classroom: An exploratory study of implementation and outcomes. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 20(3), 258-269.
- Case, J., & Gunstone, R. (2002). Metacognitive development as a shift in approach to learning: an in-depth study. *Studies in Higher Education*, 27(4), 459-470.
- Chen, S., Huang, C.-C., & Chou, T.-L. (2016). The effect of metacognitive scaffolds on low achievers' laboratory learning. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 14(2), 281-296.

- Corebima, A. D. (2009). Metacognitive skill measurement integrated in achievement test. Retrieved from <http://ftp.recsam.edu.my/cosmed/cosmed09/AbstractsFullPapers2009/Abstract/Science Parallel PDF/Full Paper/01.pdf>
- Damopolii, I., Hasan, A., & Kandowangko, N. (2015). Pengaruh Strategi Pembelajaran Inkuiri Bebas Dimodifikasi Dan Kemampuan Memecahkan Masalah Terhadap Keterampilan Proses Sains Mahasiswa Pada Praktikum Fisiologi Tumbuhan. *Pancaran*, 4(3), 191-200.
- Damopolii, I., Yohanita, A. M., Nurhidaya, N., & Murtijani, M. (2018). Meningkatkan keterampilan proses sains dan hasil belajar siswa melalui pembelajaran berbasis inkuiri. *JURNAL BIOEDUKATIKA*, 6(1), 22-30.
- Fitriana, M., & Haryani, S. (2016). Penggunaan strategi pembelajaran inkuiri untuk meningkatkan metakognisi siswa SMA. *Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Kimia*, 10(1), 1702-1711.
- Fitriyani, R., Corebima, A. D., & Ibrohim. (2015). Pengaruh strategi pembelajaran problem based learning dan inkuiri terbimbing terhadap keterampilan metakognitif, berpikir kritis, dan hasil belajar kognitif siswa SMA. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains*, 3(4), 186-200.
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2011). *How to design and evaluate research in education*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Hardianti, T., & Kuswanto, H. (2017). Difference among Levels of Inquiry : Process Skills Improvement at Senior High School in Indonesia. *International Journal of Instruction*, 10(2), 119-130.
- Hofstein, A., Dkeidek, I., Katchevitch, D., Nahum, T. L., Kipnis, M., Navon, O., ... Mamlok-Naaman, R. (2018). Research on and Development of Inquiry-type Chemistry Laboratories in Israel. *Israel Journal of Chemistry*, 58, 1-11.
- Hogan, M. J., Dwyer, C. P., Harney, O. M., Noone, C., & Conway, R. J. (2015). Metacognitive skill development and applied systems science: A framework of metacognitive skills, self-regulatory functions and real-world applications. In *Metacognition: Fundamentals, applications, and trends* (pp. 75-106). Springer.
- Koksal, E. A., & Berberoglu, G. (2014). The effect of guided-inquiry instruction on 6th grade turkish students' achievement, science



- process skills, and attitudes toward science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 36(1), 66–78.
- Lameras, P., Petridis, P., Torrens, K., Dunwell, I., Hendrix, M., & Arnab, S. (2014). Training science teachers to design inquiry-based lesson plans through a serious game. In *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Mobile, Hybrid and Online Learning* (pp. 86–91).
- Levy, B. L. M., Thomas, E. E., Drago, K., & Rex, L. A. (2013). Examining studies of inquiry-based learning in three fields of education: Sparking generative conversation. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 64(5), 387–408.
- Linanti, A. T., Anwar, Y., & Santoso, L. M. (2017). Pengaruh Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Inkuiri Terbimbing (Guided Inquiry) Terhadap Keterampilan Metakognitif Peserta Didik Kelas XI SMA Negeri 19 Palembang pada Materi Sistem Ekskresi. In *Seminar Nasional Pendidikan IPA* (Vol. 1, pp. 428–456).
- Loyens, S. M. M., & Gijbels, D. (2008). Understanding the effects of constructivist learning environments: Introducing a multi-directional approach. *Instructional Science*, 36(5–6), 351–357.
- Muna, K., Haryani, S., & Susilaningsih, E. (2016). Pengaruh guided inquiry learning terhadap keterampilan metakognisi siswa dalam materi kelarutan dan hasil kali kelarutan. *Journal of Innovative Science Education*, 5(1), 19–27.
- Myers, B. E., & Dyer, J. E. (2005). Effects of investigative laboratory instruction on content knowledge and science process skill achievement across learning styles. In *Proceeding of 2005 American Association for Agricultural Education National Research Conference* (Vol. 32, pp. 132–145). San Antonio.
- Nunaki, J. H., Damopolii, I., Kandowangko, N. Y., & Nusantari, E. (2019). The Effectiveness of Inquiry-based Learning to Train The Students' Metacognitive Skills Based on Gender Differences. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(2), 505–516.
- Ogan-Bekiroğlu, F., & Arslan, A. (2014). Examination of the effects of model-based inquiry on students' outcomes: scientific process skills and conceptual knowledge. In *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* (Vol. 141, pp. 1187–1191). Elsevier.

- Sánchez-Alonso, S., & Vovides, Y. (2007). Integration of metacognitive skills in the design of learning objects. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 23(6), 2585–2595.
- Savitri, E. N., Wusqo, I. U., Ardhi, M. W., & Putra, P. D. (2017). Enhancement of science students' process skills through implementation of green learning method (GeLeM) with conservation-based inquiry approach. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 6(2), 237–244.
- Suwono, H., Susanti, S., & Lestari, U. (2017). Guided Inquiry Facilitated Blended Learning to Improve Metacognitive and Learning Outcome of High School Students. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 824, 12068.
- Thomas, G. P. (2003). Conceptualisation, development and validation of an instrument for investigating the metacognitive orientation of science classroom learning environments: The Metacognitive Orientation Learning Environment Scale–Science (MOLES-S). *Learning Environments Research*, 6(2), 175–197.
- Thomas, G. P. (2013). Changing the metacognitive orientation of a classroom environment to stimulate metacognitive reflection regarding the nature of physics learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 35(7), 1183–1207.
- Vácha, Z., & Rokos, L. (2017). Integrated Science and Biology Education as Viewed by Czech University Students and their Attitude to Inquiry-Based Scientific. *The New Educational Review*, 47(1), 241–252.
- Veenman, M. V. J., & Spaans, M. A. (2005). Relation between intellectual and metacognitive skills: Age and task differences. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 15(2), 159–176.
- Wang, J.-R., Chen, S.-F., Fang, I., & Chou, C.-T. (2014). Comparison of Taiwanese and Canadian Students' Metacognitive Awareness of Science Reading, Text, and Strategies. *International Journal of Science Education*, 36(4), 693–713.
- Yakar, Z., & Baykara, H. (2014). Inquiry-based laboratory practices in a science teacher training program. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 10(2), 173–183
- Yusrizal, Y., & Hanif, K. (2017). Increasing of Students' Motivation in Learning Physics Through the Use of Computer Simulation Media



- Viewed From Parents' Employment Background. *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*, 5(2), 201-212. doi:10.26811/peuradeun.v5i2.129
- Zhang, W.-X., Hsu, Y.-S., Wang, C.-Y., & Ho, Y.-T. (2015). Exploring the impacts of cognitive and metacognitive prompting on students' scientific inquiry practices within an e-learning environment. *International Journal of Science Education*, 37(3), 529-553.
- Zohar, A., & Barzilai, S. (2013). A review of research on metacognition in science education: Current and future directions. *Studies in Science Education*, 49(2), 121-169.
- Zull, J. E. (2012). *From brain to mind: Using neuroscience to guide change in education*. Sterling Virginia: Stylus Publishing, LLC.