

ISSN 1927-6044 (Print)
ISSN 1927-6052 (Online)

International Journal of Higher Education

Vol. X, No. X
Month Year

SCIEDU®

▶ Home > Archives > Vol 9, No 1 (2020)

Vol 9, No 1 (2020)

International Journal of Higher Education, Vol. 9, No. 1, February 2020

Table of Contents

Articles

The Relationship of Good University Governance and Student Satisfaction Muhsin Muhsin, S Martono, Ahmad Nurkhin, Hengky Pramusinto, Nely Afsari, Ahmad Fadhly Arham	PDF p1
Development of a Learning School in Wat Srichan School, Khon Kaen Province: A Participatory Action Research Phramaha Jittipong Chanthago, Phrakrudhammapissamai Phrakrudhammapissamai, Chayanon Jantaragaron	PDF p11
Implications of English as an International Language for Language Pedagogy Kim Hua Tan, Atieh Farashaiyan, Rahman Sahragard, Fatemeh Faryabi	PDF p22
I Prefer My Own Ways to Acquire My English Speaking Skills: A Grounded Research Fernandes Arung, Zainal Rafli, Ratna Dewanti	PDF p32
Perceptions and Attitudes towards Sustainable Development among Malaysian Undergraduates Balamuralithara Balakrishnan, Fumihiko Tochinal, Hidekazu Kanemitsu	PDF p44
Inclusive Education: Origins, 'Defectology', and Kosovo's Experiences of Inclusive Education Roelien Joubert, Ingrid Harrington	PDF p52
Implementation of Project-Based Learning (PjBL) Assisted by E-Learning through Lesson Study Activities to Improve the Quality of Learning in Physics Learning Planning Courses Sri Wahyu Widyaningsih, Irfan Yusuf	PDF p60
Emotional Intelligence and Job Performance of Academicians in Malaysia Shyue Chuan Chong, Mohammad Falahat, Yin Su Lee	PDF p69
Knowledge of HIV/AIDS, its Sources and Behaviour Change of Undergraduate Students of Ekiti State University South West Nigeria: Implications for Counselling Anna Onoyase	PDF p81
A Remedial English Course from the Freshmen EFL Learners' Viewpoints and Expectations at the Hashemite University in Jordan Mohammed M. Obeidat	PDF p89
A Case Study of Higher Education in Mongolia: Institutional Isomorphism Zoljargal Dembereldorj	PDF p107
First-year Students' Adjustment to University Life: A Case Study of Implementing College Buddy Program Maryam Alharthi	PDF p116
Analyzing Universities Service Quality to Student Satisfaction: Academic and Non-Academic Analyses Kardoyo Kardoyo, Lola Kurnia Pitaloka, Rozman Rozman, Bayu Bagus Hapsoro	PDF p126
Factors Influencing the Students' Acceptance of E-Learning at Teacher Education Institute: An Exploratory Study in Malaysia Muhamad Suhaimi Taat, Agatha Francis	PDF p133
A Comparative Study of the Engineering Soft Skills Required by Moroccan Job Market Hind Chaibate, Amine Hadek, Souad Ajana, Soumia Bakkali, Kenza Faraj	PDF p142
Effective Communication-Based Teaching Skill for Early Childhood Education Students Yuliani Nurani, Sofia Hartati, Ade Dwi Utami, Hapidin Hapidin, Niken Pratiwi	PDF p153
Self-Efficacy in Teaching Multicultural Students in Academia Revital Sela-Shayovitzhayovitz, Idit Finkelstein	PDF p159
Learning Chinese as the United Nations Language? Implications for Language Learning Motivation and Identity in Adult Higher Education Hugo Yu-Hsiu Lee	PDF p168
Emotional Intelligence, Work Family Conflict, and Job Satisfaction on Junior High School Teacher's Performance Dewie Tri Wijayati, Achmad Kautsar, Karwanto Karwanto	PDF p179
The Effect of Principal's Distributed Leadership Practice on Students' Academic Achievement: A Systematic Review of the Literature Ghirmai Jambo Daniel, Hongde Lei	PDF p189
Pedagogical Practice as Way to Find out Pedagogical Barriers Dorofeeva M. S., Timoshenko A. I., Tretyakova L. R., Rogaleva E. V.	PDF p199
Exploring the Perception of Students using Student-Centered Learning Approach in a Malaysian Public University Abderrahim Benlahcene Benlahcene, Sana Anwar Lashari, Tahira Anwar Lashari, Muhammad Waleed Shehzad, Wu Deli	PDF p204
Convergence between 21st Century Skills and Entrepreneurship Education in Higher Education Institutes Abdul Ghafar	PDF p218
A Model of Causal Relationships Affecting the Effectiveness of Primary Schools under Khon Kaen Primary Education Service Area Kantawan Sangsurin, Dr.Prayuth Chusorn, Dr.Pha Agsonsua	PDF p230
Anxiety and Stress in In-service Chinese University Teachers of Arts Meihua Liu, Yan Yi	PDF p237
The Impact of Strategic Notetaking on EFL learners' Academic Performance in Jordan Ibrahim F. F. Almaagbh	PDF p249
Challenges of Teaching English Language Classes of Slow and Fast Learners in the United Arab Emirates Universities Bilal Zakarneh, Najah Al-Ramahi, Mahmoud Mahmoud	PDF p256
The Reality of Strategic Planning in the Faculties of Educational Sciences in Jordanian Private Universities, and Its Relation to Academic Excellence Reda. S. Al-Mawdieh	PDF p270
An Evaluation of a Pilot Study of the Personal Tutoring Programme in Improving Skills Development at the University of Trinidad and Tobago Andrew Hunte, Wasi Z Khan, Rohanie Maharaj	PDF p280

▶ Home > About the Journal > Editorial Team

Editorial Team

Editor-in-Chief

[Dr. Ingrid Harrington](#), UNE, Australia

Associate Editors

[Associate Professor Dawn Joseph](#), Deakin University, Australia
[Enrique Pareja](#), Truman State University, United States
[Kang-Young N/A Lee](#), Chungbuk National University, Korea, Republic of
[Dr. Marika Guggisberg](#), CQUniversity Queensland Centre for Domestic and Family Violence Research, Australia
[Shvaikina Nina Sergeevna](#), Samara State Technical University, Russian Federation

Editorial Assistant

[Susan Sun](#), Sciedu Press, Canada

Reviewers

[Dr. Alina Georgeta Mag](#), University Lucian Blaga of Sibiu, Romania
[Dr. Chad T. Harvey](#), McMaster University, Canada
[Dr. Christine Hildesheim](#), Heidelberg University, Germany
[Dr. Cuong Huu Nguyen](#), Van Lang University, Viet Nam
[Dr. Daria Pizzuto](#), Bernards Township Schools, United States
[Dr. Dianne Weinstein](#), Farmingdale State College, United States
[Dr. Eva Hava Peisachovich](#), York University, Canada
[Dr. Heike Behle](#), University of Warwick, United Kingdom
[Dr. Isthifa Kemal](#), Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara, Indonesia
[James E Curtis, Jr](#), Education Foundation, United States
[Jennifer Rose Ament](#), Universitat Internacional de Catalunya, Spain
[Dr. Jitendra Singh](#), Minnesota State University Moorhead, United States
[Prof. Dr. Joao Leitao](#), University of Beira Interior, NECE University of Lisbon, CEG-IST & ICS, Portugal
[Professor Joaquim Mourato](#), Polytechnic Institute of Portalegre, Portugal
[Dr. Kai Zhou](#), University at Albany, State University of New York, United States
[Dr. Krista L. Nelson](#), Southern Arkansas University Magnolia, AR, United States
[Dr. Lisa D Weaver](#), Lock Haven University, United States
[Dr. Louis S. Nadelson](#), University of Central Arkansas, United States
[Professor Maria Rosario Hernández Coló](#), Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México - UNAM Facultad de Estudios Superiores Acatlán, Mexico
[Mariana Sokol](#), Ternopil Volodymyr Hnatuk National Pedagogical University, Ukraine
[Dr. Mark Cheuk Man Tsang](#), Tung Wah College, Hong Kong
[Dr. Michaela Cocca](#), Autonomous University of Nuevo Leon, Mexico
[Monica Alcindor](#), Universidade Portucalense, Portugal
[Dr. MUDASSIR HUSSAIN](#), School of Education, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China., China
[mr.muhammad - subandi](#), agrotechnology department, the state islamic university of sunan gunung djati of bandung, Indonesia
[Dr. Murad Karasov](#), Researcher
[Dr. Naqham Saeed](#), University of West London, United Kingdom
[Dr. Nahed Saied El-Naggar](#), Ain Shams University, Saudi Arabia
[Dr. Nicholas James Shudak](#), Wayne State College, United States
[Dr. Panagiotis Kosmas](#), Cyprus University of Technology, Cyprus
[pha pha 99sonsu](#), Thailand
[Dr. Patricia Stringer](#), Emirates College for Advanced Education, New Zealand
[Rui Paiva](#), Polytechnic of Leiria, Portugal
[Dr. Saheed Adegwale Adebayo](#), National Higher Education Research Institute Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia
[Dr. Shaynah Neshama-Bannister](#), Azusa Pacific University, United States
[Dr. Silvia Bartolic](#), The University of British Columbia, Canada
[Dr. Sonia Maria Gomez Puente](#), Eindhoven University of Technology (TU/e), Netherlands
[Dr. Sorrel Penn-Edwards](#), Griffith University, Australia
[Dr. Stacy L. Davis](#), Truman State University, United States
[Dr. Taeil Yi](#), University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, United States
[Dr. Tania F. Gómez Sánchez](#), Universidad de Coruña, Spain
[Prof. Umunadi Ejiwoke Kennedy](#), DELTA STATE UNIVERSITY, ABRAKA DELTA STATE, NIGERIA
[Victor Aleksandrovich Pushnykh](#), Tomsk Polytechnic University, Russian Federation
[Win O. Whelan](#), St. Bonaventure University, United States
[Prof. Dr. Yani Suryani](#), State Islamic University of Sunan Gunung Djati, Indonesia
[Yousif Abdelbaki Abdalla](#), University of Sharjah, United Arab Emirates
[Prof. Yuriy Thorovych Pivovarov](#), National Aviation University, Ukraine
[Zhanxia Yang](#), Texas Tech University, United States

International Journal of Higher Education
 ISSN 1927-6044 (Print) ISSN 1927-6052 (Online) Email: ijhe@sciedupress.com

Copyright © Sciedu Press

To make sure that you can receive messages from us, please add the 'Sciedupress.com' domain to your e-mail 'safe list'. If you do not receive e-mail in your 'inbox', check your 'bulk mail' or 'junk mail' folders.

Journal Help

USER

You are logged in as...

irfanyusuf

[My Journals](#)

[My Profile](#)

[Log Out](#)

Journal Metrics

Citations (July 2021): 9764

h-index (July 2021): 43

i10-index (July 2021): 237

h5-index (July 2021): 26

h5-median (July 2021): 36

NOTIFICATIONS

[View \(36 new\)](#)

[Manage](#)

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search

Search Scope

All

[Search](#)

Browse

[By Issue](#)

[By Author](#)

[By Title](#)

[Other Journals](#)

FONT SIZE

A⁺ A A⁻

INFORMATION

[For Readers](#)

[For Authors](#)

[For Librarians](#)

CURRENT ISSUE

ATOM 1.0


RSS 2.0


RSS 1.0

Jurnal internasional scopus Q4 (2018-2020) SJR 0,12

International Journal of Higher Education

Discontinued in Scopus as of 2020

COUNTRY	SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY	PUBLISHER	H-INDEX
Canada 	Social Sciences └ Education	Sciedu Press	6
PUBLICATION TYPE	ISSN	COVERAGE	
Journals	19276044, 19276052	2018-2020	



scopus.com/sourceid/21100896634

Preview

Dashboard Author search Sources

Source details

Feedback > Compare sources >

International Journal of Higher Education

Scopus coverage years: from 2018 to 2020
(coverage discontinued in Scopus)

Publisher: Sciedu Press

ISSN: 1927-6044 E-ISSN: 1927-6052

Subject area: [Social Sciences: Education](#)

Source type: Journal

[View all documents >](#) [Set document alert](#) [Save to source list](#) [Source Homepage](#)

CiteScore 2019	0.2
SJR 2019	0.120
SNIP 2020	0.531

Proses Submit dan Perbaikan oleh Korespondensi Irfan Yusuf

→ [sciedupress.com/journal/index.php/ijhe/author/submission/16396](#)

SCIEDU
Sciedu Press

International Journal of Higher Education

[HOME](#) | [ABOUT](#) | [USER HOME](#) | [SEARCH](#) | [CURRENT](#) | [ARCHIVES](#) | [ANNOUNCEMENTS](#)
[RECRUITMENT](#) | [SUBMISSION](#) | [EDITORIAL BOARD](#) | [INDEXES](#) | [ETHICAL GUIDELINES](#) | [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#)
[CITATIONS](#) | [CONTACT](#) | [PUBLICATION POLICIES](#)

Home > User > Author > Submissions > #16396 > **Summary**

#16396 Summary

SUMMARY REVIEW EDITING

Submission

Authors	Sri Wahyu Widyaningsih, Irfan Yusuf
Title	Implementation of Project-Based Learning (PjBL) Assisted by E-Learning through Lesson Study Activities to Improve the Quality of Learning in Physics Learning Planning Courses
Original file	16396-57409-1-SM.DOC 2019-10-04
Supp. files	16396-57410-1-SR.DOC 2019-10-04
Submitter	Irfan Yusuf
Date submitted	October 4, 2019 - 01:01 PM
Section	Articles
Editor	Susan Sun
Author comments	We hope this article can be accepted. Thank you
Abstract Views	710

Status

Status	Published Vol 9, No 1 (2020)
Initiated	2019-11-13
Last modified	2020-04-16

Journal Help

USER

You are logged in as...
irfanyusuf

[My Journals](#)
[My Profile](#)
[Log Out](#)

Journal Metrics

Citations (July 2021): 9764
h-index (July 2021): 43
i10-index (July 2021): 237
h5-index (July 2021): 26
h5-median (July 2021): 36

NOTIFICATIONS

[View](#) (36 new)
[Manage](#)

AUTHOR

Submissions

SCIEDU
Sciedu Press

International Journal of Higher Education

[HOME](#) | [ABOUT](#) | [USER HOME](#) | [SEARCH](#) | [CURRENT](#) | [ARCHIVES](#) | [ANNOUNCEMENTS](#)
[RECRUITMENT](#) | [SUBMISSION](#) | [EDITORIAL BOARD](#) | [INDEXES](#) | [ETHICAL GUIDELINES](#) | [GOOGLE SCHOLAR](#)
[CITATIONS](#) | [CONTACT](#) | [PUBLICATION POLICIES](#)

Home > User > Author > Submissions > #16396 > **Review**

#16396 Review

SUMMARY **REVIEW** EDITING

Submission

Authors	Sri Wahyu Widyaningsih, Irfan Yusuf
Title	Implementation of Project-Based Learning (PjBL) Assisted by E-Learning through Lesson Study Activities to Improve the Quality of Learning in Physics Learning Planning Courses
Section	Articles
Editor	Susan Sun

Peer Review

Round 1

Review Version	16396-57411-1-RV.DOC 2019-10-04
Initiated	—
Last modified	—
Uploaded file	None

Editor Decision

Decision	Accept Submission 2019-10-25
Notify Editor	Editor/Author Email Record No Comments

Journal Help

USER

You are logged in as...
irfanyusuf

[My Journals](#)
[My Profile](#)
[Log Out](#)

Journal Metrics

Citations (July 2021): 9764
h-index (July 2021): 43
i10-index (July 2021): 237
h5-index (July 2021): 26
h5-median (July 2021): 36

NOTIFICATIONS

[View](#) (36 new)
[Manage](#)

AUTHOR

Submissions

[Active](#) (0)
[Archive](#) (1)

Compose

- Mail
 - Inbox 4,377
 - Starred
 - Snoozed
 - Sent
 - More
- Chat +
- Spaces +
- Meet
 - New meeting
 - My meetings
- Snoozed
- Sent
- More

Check Paper before Publication

International Journal of Higher Education <ijhe@sciedupress.com> to me
 Mon, Nov 4, 2019, 11:05 AM

Dear Irfan Yusuf,

We are working on your paper for publishing. Please find the primary version as attachment.

Please check your paper. If you find any questions, please give us feedback as soon as possible, in order to improve it before publishing.

Please do not change the format and layout, which are designed for publishing. Especially, please check the language and errors. If you change something in Word file, please mark it in RED, or inform us via e-mail.

Once we receive your reply, we will publish your paper online immediately.

Note: International Journal of Higher Education (IJHE) is included in [Scopus](#). For any further information, please log into the website: <http://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21100999934>

Best Regards,


Susan Sun
 Editorial Assistant, International Journal of Higher Education
 Sciedu Press

 Mailing Address: 9140 Leslie St. Suite 110, Beaver Creek, Ontario, L4B 0A9, Canada
 Tel: 1-416-479-0028 ext. 211
 Fax: 1-416-642-8548
 E-mail: ijhe@sciedupress.com
 Website: <http://ijhe.sciedupress.com>

*****Original Email*****

From: Irfan Yusuf <yusuf@unipa.ac.id>
 Time: 2019-10-29 19:28
 To: International Journal of Higher Education <ijhe@sciedupress.com>
 CC: ..
 Journal Name: International Journal of Higher Education (IJHE)
 Authors: Sri Wahyu Widyaningsih and Irfan Yusuf

Attachment List: 1.new1-20191103.doc



Irfan Yusuf <yusuf@unipa.ac.id> to International
 Nov 12, 2019, 2:42 PM

Dear
 Editorial Assistant, International Journal of Higher Education
 We have checked our article. Here we send our article file for publication.
 thanks.



Thanks for your final version. Your paper has been published online: <http://ijhe.sciedupress.com> Please download the file for your review. Thanks in advance.

Best Regards,

Compose

- Mail
 - Inbox 4,377
 - Starred
 - Snoozed
 - Sent
 - More
- Chat +
- Spaces +
- Meet
 - New meeting
 - My meetings

Re: [IJHE] Implementation of Project Based Learning (PjBL) assisted by E-Learning through Lesson Study Activities to improve the quality of learning in Physics Learning Planning Courses

International Journal of Higher Education <ijhe@sciedupress.com> to me
 Sun, Oct 27, 2019, 6:52 PM

Dear Irfan Yusuf,

We have received your revised paper. Thank you. It is accepted. Your paper will be published on the issue of Vol. 9, No. 1, in February 2020.

Our editing staff will work on the layout and format. At a later date we will invite you to review the paper. Thank you.

You can make the payment now. I am writing to tell you how to make the payment through paypal. Please log on the website: <http://payment.sciedupress.com/> Please choose **Publication Fee 400USD** and fill journal name and article title in the form, and add to cart. Then you may check out and the page will be transferred to your credit card information. You may fill in the information about your card according to the instructions online. Please try. If it does not work, please change another browser to try. Thanks. For any question you have please let me know.

Note: International Journal of Higher Education (IJHE) is included in [Scopus](#). For any further information, please log into the website: <http://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21100999934>

Best Regards,

Susan Sun
 Editorial Assistant, International Journal of Higher Education
 Sciedu Press

 Mailing Address: 9140 Leslie St. Suite 110, Beaver Creek, Ontario, L4B 0A9, Canada
 Tel: 1-416-479-0028 ext. 211
 Fax: 1-416-642-8548
 E-mail: ijhe@sciedupress.com
 Website: <http://ijhe.sciedupress.com>

*****Original Email*****

From: Irfan Yusuf <yusuf@unipa.ac.id>
 Time: 2019-10-27 11:40
 To: Susan Sun <ijhe@sciedupress.com>
 CC: ..
 Subject: [IJHE] Implementation of Project Based Learning (PjBL) assisted by E-Learning through Lesson Study Activities to improve the quality of learning in Physics Learning Planning Courses

New Message

Result of Review

Title: Implementation of Project Based Learning (PjBL) assisted by E-Learning through Lesson Study Activities to improve the quality of learning in Physics Learning Planning Courses

Author(s): Sri Wahyu Widyaningsih & Irfan Yusuf

Decision of Paper Selection

- () Accept submission, no revisions required
- (*) Accept submission, revisions required; please revise the paper according to comments
- () Revise and resubmit for review
- () Decline submission

What should you do next? (For accepted papers)

- ✓ Revise the paper according to the comments (if applicable).
- ✓ All authors must agree on the publication; please inform us of agreement by e-mail.
- ✓ Pay a publication fee of 400.00USD for the paper.
 - ✧ Please find payment information at: <http://payment.sciedupress.com>
 - ✧ Please notify the editorial assistant when payment has been made

Proposed Schedule for Publication (For accepted papers)

- ✓ Vol. 9, No. 1, February 2020, if you meet above requirements within 2 weeks.
- ✓ e-Version First: the online version may be published soon after the final draft is completed.
- ✓ You may also ask to publish the paper later, if you need more time for revision or payment.

Additional Information (For accepted papers)

- ✓ You may download your article in PDF at: <http://ijhe.sciedupress.com>
- ✓ You may contact us to request an e-book of the full issue in PDF, *free of charge*.
- ✓ We may provide two print copies (per article), *free of charge*. To request free print copies, please download and complete the application form at: <http://author.sciedupress.com>
- ✓ To order more print copies, please contact us.

Comments from Editor

Evaluation	Grade
	Please give a grade of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1(high to low)
Overall evaluation of the paper	3
1. Contribution to existing knowledge	3
2. Appropriate formatting and structure	3
3. Readability	2
4. Soundness of methodology	3
5. Evidence supports conclusion	3
6. Adequacy of literature review	3
Comments and Suggestions	
<p>(*) Revise the paper according to <i>Author Guide</i>: http://author.sciedupress.com</p> <p>(*) Picture(s)/figure(s) are not clear, 300 dpi is required</p> <p>() Move the footnotes to endnotes</p> <p>() Resize the table(s)/figure(s), to fit letter size paper (8.5*11 inch, 21.59*27.94cm)</p> <p>() Revise table(s) into three-line table(s)</p> <p>() Insert table(s) and figure(s) into the text, not after references</p> <p>() Similarity index (checked by iThenticate) is high, please revise to keep a Similarity Index \leq 30% and single source matches are not >6%.</p> <p>(*) Add DOI persistent links to those references that have DOIs, please see <i>Submission Guide</i></p> <p>(*) Others: Others: Please re-edit the paper according to reviewers' comments.</p>	

Note: revise your paper according to the items with “*”

Comments from Reviewer A

❖ Recommendation to Editor (Please mark “x” for appropriate option)
<input type="radio"/> Excellent, accept the submission (5) <input type="radio"/> Good, accept the submission with minor revisions required (4) <input type="radio"/> Acceptable, revisions required (3) <input checked="" type="radio"/> Resubmit for review, major revisions required (2) <input type="radio"/> Decline the submission (1)

The editor will forward the section below to author/s

Evaluation (Please assign the score for each item below)	
5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Below Average 1=Poor n/a=Not Applicable	
Items	Grade
Overall evaluation on the paper	
1. Contribution to existing knowledge	3.5
2. Appropriate formatting and structure	2
3. Readability	3
4. Soundness of methodology	1
5. Evidence supports conclusion	1
6. Adequacy of literature review	2
❖ Strengths	
The authors should be congratulated for their insight and effort to undertake this research – it does have potential.	
❖ Weaknesses	
<p>There were many problems with the paper, which require careful attention. This includes academic writing with basic errors (grammar, tense) and insufficient substantiation of claims made.</p> <p>The introduction mentions ‘learning outcomes’ but the concept was not defined and it is unclear whether they refer to graduate attributes students will be able to demonstrate after successful completion of the course.</p>	

The authors also indicated a philosophy commonly known as ‘student-centred’ teaching approach, which they did not explain correctly.

The research design may have been appropriate, but this could not be verified given the substantial lack of information. The authors are required to provide sufficient information and explain the recruitment, data collection and analyses appropriately. This will include information whether or not the study was approved by an overseeing body such as a human research ethics committee.

Consequently, the results and discussion sections appear flawed – note that there is a description of what took place but no analysis and discussion of the study’s findings against the background of the academic literature in the field. The authors failed to consider limitations of their study.

The conclusion was a further presentation of findings, which is inappropriate. Here a specific structure is required and no new information should be presented: 1) Restating the purpose of the study; 2) Brief summary of the main findings; 3) Concluding remarks as a result of the analyses; 4) Outlook – recommendations what should be considered as a next step.

❖ **Suggestions to Author/s**

It is hoped that the above comments assist the authors to revise their manuscript (more accurately – a complete rewrite is required). However, given the potential this paper has, it is recommended not to decline the submission and invite the authors to resubmit their manuscript.

Best wishes.

Comments from Reviewer B

❖ Recommendation to Editor (Please mark “x” for appropriate option)
<input type="radio"/> Excellent, accept the submission (5) <input type="radio"/> Good, accept the submission with minor revisions required (4) <input checked="" type="radio"/> Acceptable, revisions required (3) <input type="radio"/> Resubmit for review, major revisions required (2) <input type="radio"/> Decline the submission (1)

The editor will forward the section below to author/s

Evaluation (Please assign the score for each item below)	
5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Below Average 1=Poor n/a=Not Applicable	
Items	Grade
Overall evaluation on the paper	
1. Contribution to existing knowledge	3
2. Appropriate formatting and structure	3
3. Readability	2
4. Soundness of methodology	3
5. Evidence supports conclusion	3
6. Adequacy of literature review	3
❖ Strengths	
Interesting topic	
❖ Weaknesses	
Needs proofreading to ensure proper use of English language grammar and sentence structure.	
❖ Suggestions to Author/s	
Paper is not well written in required publisher’s structure. Consult with online resources to reorganize paper according to expectations.	

Appendix

Ethical Guidelines for Authors

- The author should not submit concurrent manuscripts (or manuscripts essentially describing the same subject matter) to multiple journals. Likewise, an author should not submit any paper previously published anywhere to the journals for consideration. The publication of articles on specific subject matter, such as clinical guidelines and translations, in more than one journal is acceptable if certain conditions are met.
- The author should present a precise and brief report of his or her research and an impartial description of its significance.
- The author should honestly gather and interpret his or her research data. Publishers, editors, reviewers, and readers are entitled to request the author to provide the raw data for his or her research for convenience of editorial review and public access. If practicable, the author should retain such data for any possible use after publication.
- The author should guarantee that the works he or she has submitted are original. If the author has used work and/or words by others, appropriate citations are required. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.
- The author should indicate explicitly all sources that have supported the research and also declare any conflict(s) of interest.
- The author should give due acknowledgement to all of those who have made contributions to the research. Those who have contributed significantly to the research should be listed as coauthors. The author should ensure that all coauthors have affirmed the final version of the paper and have agreed on its final publication.
- The author should promptly inform the journal editor of any obvious error(s) in his or her published paper and cooperate earnestly with the editor in retraction or correction of the paper. If the editor is notified by any party other than the author that the published paper contains an obvious error, the author should write a retraction or make the correction based on the medium of publication.

Tools for Authors

- APA Citation Style: <http://library.concordia.ca/help/howto/apa.php>
- English Grammar Checker: <http://www.whitesmoke.com/>
- Plagiarism Checking: <http://www.ithenticate.com/>
- Proofreading: <http://www.proofreadingpal.com/>
- Purdue Online Writing Lab: <http://owl.english.purdue.edu/>

Implementation of Project-Based Learning (PjBL) Assisted by E-Learning through Lesson Study Activities to Improve the Quality of Learning in Physics Learning Planning Courses

Sri Wahyu Widyaningsih¹ & Irfan Yusuf¹

¹Department of Physics Education, University of Papua, Indonesia

Correspondence: Irfan Yusuf, Department of Physics Education, University of Papua, Manokwari 98314 West Papua, Indonesia. E-mail: i.yusuf@unipa.ac.id

Received: October 4, 2019

Accepted: November 12, 2019

Online Published: November 13, 2019

doi:10.5430/ijhe.v9n1p60

URL: <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v9n1p60>

Abstract

This study aims to improve the quality of learning in physics learning planning courses through the implementation of Project-Based Learning (PjBL) assisted by E-Learning through Lesson Study activities. This was qualitative research through the stages of Lesson Study activities. Subjects in this study were the 5th-semester students who program 11 physics learning planning subjects in the 2018-2019 academic year in the Department of Physics Education, University of Papua. The research data were obtained through the learning outcomes of student tests. The instrument was given after the submission of each topic of study, observation sheet of student activities, interview guidelines, documentation in the form of video recordings during open class implementation, and student response questionnaire. Data were analyzed through Rasch modeling with the help of the Winstep application to analyze student responses after learning. Lesson Study activities consist of three phases of activities, namely Plan, Do, and See. In the Planning stage, discussions with the team of lecturers were held to develop the Chapter Design and Lesson Plan. In the Do stage, the model lecturer was based on the tools that have been prepared. In the See stage, the reflection was carried to find out weaknesses and strengths during learning, which was then followed up on further learning. The results showed that student-learning outcomes increased student responses to good learning, and the learning atmosphere seemed very fun. Therefore, it could be concluded that the implementation of PjBL assisted E-Learning through Lesson Study activities can improve the quality of learning in physics learning planning subjects.

Keywords: e-learning, learning outcomes, lesson study, PjBL

1. Introduction

All levels of education, including universities, need to apply innovative learning to develop the quality of learning. Students need to be provided with a good understanding before they enter the world of work (Karyadi, Sinon, Yusuf, & Widyaningsih, 2018). Especially for prospective teacher students who will teach at the school. Every subject needs to be well understood by students. Students in the Department of Physics Education, University of Papua, have to enroll in a physics learning planning course. The course is one of the subjects that is very important to equip students with insight regarding developing learning tools before they teach. The materials are currently delivered through the lecturing method, where lecturer directly presents the subject matter without involving the student's activities to find and find the solution to the problem itself. Student learning outcomes are also lacking. This is due to their limited ability to develop their knowledge from lecturers (Widyaningsih & Yusuf, 2018).

Lecturers can use information and communication technology as a source of learning (Kurniawan, Mujasam, Yusuf, & Widyaningsih, 2019; Skultety, Gonzalez, & Vargas, 2017). Although information and communication technology media can be applied in learning, it does not mean that the media replace the lecturer (Yusuf & Widyaningsih, 2019). However, the role of the lecturer's shifts from learning resources to facilitators, meaning that lecturers must be able to facilitate students to practice critical thinking, collaborate, and communicate through the information and communication technology media (Cochrane, Redmond, & Corrin, 2018; Yusuf & Subaer, 2013). In learning interactions, students do not always have to be trained; they can search, find, solve problems and train themselves. The ability of each student is also different; some students have high abilities so that they can search, find and develop themselves. However, some students still need assistance to understand the subject matter.

A model of learning that can improve student learning outcomes is Project-Based Learning (PjBL) with e-learning integration. PjBL is learning that is designed for complex problems, in which students conduct investigations to understand it, emphasizing learning with long-standing activities, the tasks given to students are multidisciplinary and product-oriented (Barron et al., 1998; Baş & Beyhan, 2010). The use of e-learning in PjBL is intended to provide learning facilities for students because each material and assignment can be accessed online whenever and wherever they are (Heo, Lim, & Kim, 2010; Koh, Herring, & Hew, 2010). The e-learning program used in this lecture is the Google Classroom program. Google Classroom provides various facilities such as online discussions, posting lecture material, and assigning online assignments to students (Alverson, Schwartz, Libraries, & 2018, 2019; Heggart, Yoo, & Heggart, 2018). To improve the effectiveness of learning, in this study, PjBL learning is assisted by e-learning through application of lesson study activities. The lesson study activities involve model lecturers and observers to obtain reflections in the form of suggestions and criticisms that can be used as a consideration for the implementation of learning activities (Skultety et al., 2017). Lesson study is a means for teachers to collaborate in finding solutions and designing innovative and learner-centered learning (Sarimanah, 2018). Therefore, it is hoped that the implementation of PjBL with the help of e-learning through lesson study activities can improve the quality of learning.

2. Method

This was a qualitative research through the stages of lesson study activities. The lesson study activities in this study were carried out in three stages of activities namely Plan, Do, and See (C. Fernandez & Yoshida, 2004). In the Plan stage, discussions with the team of lecturers were held to develop chapter design and lesson plans. In the Do stage, the model lecturer based on the tools that have been prepared does learning. In the See stage, the reflection was carried out to find out weaknesses and strengths during learning, which is then followed up on further learning. The meeting was held for four times. At each meeting, lesson study activities were conducted to obtain information regarding the learning atmosphere.

Subjects in this study were the 5th-semester students who program 11 Physics Learning Planning Subjects in the 2018-2019 academic year. Research data were assessment of student learning outcomes obtained through project assignments given in each meeting. Project tasks undertaken by students collected online through the Google Classroom application. Assessment of student learning outcomes was carried out online through the Google Classroom application — category analysis of student learning outcomes as in Table I (Riduwan, 2011).

Table 1. Assessment category of student learning outcomes

<i>Interval class</i>	<i>Category</i>
81-100	Very good
61-80	Good
41-60	Medium
21-40	Less
<21	Very less

In the implementation of classroom learning, student activities were observed through observation sheets filled by observers. Other research data were also obtained through documentation of activities in the form of video recordings during the implementation of the open class. To obtain student responses during learning, a student response questionnaire can be provided online through the Google Classroom application. The results of the assessment of student responses were analyzed through Rasch modeling with the help of the Ministep application.

3. Results and Discussion

This research activity was carried out four times the lesson study activities. The model lecturer carries out learning activities and observed by observers. The details of the lesson study activities can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Details of lesson study activities

Lesson to	Subject matter	Model Lecturer	Observer	Implementation Time	
				Plan	Do and See
1	KKM analysis	Widya	Halima, Nurul, Irfan	Friday, August 31, 2018	Monday, September 3, 2018
2	Effective Week Analysis	Widya	Halima, Nurul, Irfan	Friday, August 7, 2018	Monday, September 10, 2018
3	Annual Program Analysis	Widya	Halima, Nurul, Irfan	Friday, August 14, 2018	Monday, September 17, 2018
4	Semester Program Analysis	Widya	Halima, Adonia, Irfan	Friday, August 21, 2018	Monday, September 24, 2018

The implementation of learning is carried out through PjBL learning stages. In general, PjBL has steps or guides called planning, creating, and processing (Genc, 2015). PjBL is comprehensive learning that includes students conducting collaborative investigations. PjBL helps students in learning through assigned tasks (Widyaningsih & Yusuf, 2018). At each meeting, lesson study activities were conducted to improve the quality of learning.

3.1 Lesson 1

3.1.1 Plan Phase

At the first meeting, the material taught was about determining the value of the Minimum Completeness Criteria (KKM). During the Plan phase, discussions were held with a team of lecturers of Physics Education to develop Chapter Design and Lesson Plan. Lecturers prepare presentation media in the form of powerpoint slides, examples of KKM analysis, and KKM analysis worksheets using excel programs.

3.1.2 Do Phase

At the beginning of the lecture, the model lecturer gave a general description of the material and provided motivation and apperception about the material analyzing the KKM. Figure 1 shows the learning atmosphere when the model lecturer presents introductory material on KKM analysis.



Figure 1. The lecturer gives an introduction to the KKM analysis

Model lecturers provide various problems related to KKM analysis. Students were required to be able to solve these problems. Students were divided into eight groups, each consisting of 2-3 people. Each group was given an example of KKM analysis. Then the lecturer asked several groups to present the results of the group discussion. Through this stage, students have understood how to analyze KKM to obtain KKM subjects. Then the lecturer gives the task of analyzing the KKM to practice the knowledge they have acquired. Tasks that were carried out and developed by each student were collected the following week through each student's account on Google Classroom. The Google Classroom application is supported by Gmail, drive, and docs facilities (Alverson et al., 2019). The facility helps teachers create and collect paperless tasks, including time-saving features such as the ability to automatically create Google Docs copies for each student (Alverson et al., 2019; Hooks & Casarez, 2018).

3.1.3 See Phase

During the lecture process, it was seen that most groups of students actively discussed and practiced KKM analysis. They were able to determine KI, KD, and indicators and determine KKM. There were only two students who still

looked confused, but after being allowed to ask questions and give explanations, the two students were active again. It turned out that these problematic students have a team member who was less able to work together in groups so that for the next meeting the group will be brought up and noticed the homogeneity of the group members. Cooperation was very clear, especially the number of members in a small group of 2-3 people so that each student can work effectively. The model lecturer provides group guidance so that each group can do KKM calculations correctly. Group learning can help students understand the subject matter more effectively because they can work together in their respective groups (Liu, Li, & Zhang, 2018; Sharan, Sharan, & Tan, 2013).

3.2 Lesson 2

3.2.1 Plan Phase

At the second meeting, the material taught is about determining the effective week. During the Plan phase, discussions were held with a team of Physics Education lecturers to improve learning devices. Lecturers prepare presentation media, examples of effective week analysis, and effective week calculation worksheets using Microsoft excel processing software.

3.2.2 Do Phase

At the beginning of the lecture, the model lecturer conveyed a general description of the material and provided motivation and apperception about the material analyzing the Effective Week. Students were divided into eight groups, each consisting of 2-3 heterogeneous people. Each group was given an example of an effective week analysis. Figure 2 shows the learning atmosphere where students discuss examples of effective week analysis provided by the lecturer.



Figure 2. Lecturers provide examples of effective week analysis to be discussed with the group

The lecturer asked several groups to appear and present the results of their group discussions and prioritized groups that had never presented. Through this stage, students have understood how to analyze effective weeks. Then the lecturer gave the task of analyzing the effective week to practice the knowledge they have acquired. Tasks that were completed and developed by each student were collected the following week through each student's account on Google Classroom.

3.2.3 See Phase

The enthusiasm of students in asking questions. Discussions conducted by students showed an excellent academic atmosphere. Some students actively ask questions, and some students answer the questions. Model lecturer, as a facilitator in learning, provides opportunities for each student to emulate the results they get. Discussions that take place during learning were very useful because students can share their work and input from other students. Learning facilitated by the lecturer should be able to facilitate and encourage students to be creative in learning (Suryani, 2017).

3.3 Lesson 3

3.3.1 Plan Phase

At the third meeting, the material taught was about annual program preparation. During the Plan phase, discussions were held with a team of Physics Education lecturers to improve learning devices. Lecturers prepare a powerpoint presentation media, examples of annual program analysis, and annual program creation worksheets.

3.3.2 Do Phase

At the beginning of the lecture, the model lecturer gave a general description of the material and provided motivation and apperception about the material for the annual program preparation. Students were divided into eight groups,

each consisting of 2-3 heterogeneous people. Each group was given examples of annual program tools. Then the lecturer asked several groups to present the results of the group discussion and prioritizing groups that had never presented. Figure 3 shows the learning atmosphere when one group explains the results of the group discussion.



Figure 3. The lecturer asked several groups to present the results of the discussion

At the group presentation stage, students discuss how to make an annual program so that they can understand the material well. Then the lecturer assigned the task of making an annual program to practice the knowledge they have acquired. Tasks that were carried out and developed by each student were collected the following week through each student's account on Google Classroom.

3.3.3 See Phase

At this meeting, students appeared enthusiastic to attend the class. Students actively asked questions related to the material being studied. In group discussion activities, they were seen cooperating in working on assignments given by the model lecturers.

3.4 Lesson 4

3.4.1 Plan Phase

At the fourth meeting, the material taught was about the analysis of the semester program. During the Plan phase, discussions were held with a team of Physics Education lecturers to improve learning devices. Lecturers prepare a powerpoint presentation media, sample semester program analysis, and semester program calculation worksheets using an excel program.

3.4.2 Do Phase

At the beginning of the lecture, the model lecturer delivered a general description of the material and provided motivation and apperception about the material analyzing the semester program. Students were divided into eight groups, each consisting of 2-3 heterogeneous people. Each group was given examples of semester program analysis. Then the lecturer asked several groups to appear and present the results of the group discussion and prioritized groups that had never presented. Through this stage, students already understand how to analyze semester programs. Then the lecturer gave the task of analyzing the semester program to practice the knowledge they had obtained. Figure 4 shows the collaboration of students in working on the analysis tasks of the semester program.



Figure 4. The lecturer gave a semester program analysis sheet to work with the group

Students work together in doing the assignments. Tasks that were completed and developed by each student were collected the following week through each student's account on Google Classroom. The lecturer can directly examine all student assignments. Students can immediately find out their grades. Figure 5 shows the appearance of online

learning using Google Classroom.




Figure 5. Google Classroom application display

3.4.3 See Phase

At this meeting, all students noticed the subject matter. Students who do not understand the lesson immediately ask questions. Students enjoyed taking lessons. Learning methods applied by lecturers are also appropriate to improve student-learning outcomes. PjBL learning requires students to think critically to complete the given project assignment (Bell, 2010). Project tasks completed by students have good results. This is because the learning activities continue to be improved and reflected through lesson study (Clea Fernandez, 2002).

At each meeting, an assessment of student learning outcomes was based on the given project assignment. Student assignment assessment was carried out online through the Google Classroom application. Students can find out directly the results of the assessment by the lecturer. Assessment analysis of student learning outcomes can be seen in Figure 6.




Figure 6. Analysis of student learning outcomes assessed at each meeting

Figure 6 shows that there was an increase in student learning outcomes in each assignment given at each meeting. At the first meeting, the average score was $67.8 \pm SD 2.4$ (good) with the distribution of student learning outcomes; all of them were in a good range. The results at meeting 1 were not much different from the second meeting, which was represented by an average value of $68.6 \pm SD 6.4$ (good) with the distribution of student learning outcomes, all in good categories. At the third meeting, there was an increase in the average value of $74.1 \pm SD 3.4$ (good) with the distribution of student learning outcomes, mostly at good intervals but also at very good intervals. At the fourth meeting also experienced an increase that was obtained an average value of $75.0 \pm SD 6.3$ (good) with the spread of

student assessment, most of them were within the very good category, and some were in a good category. Therefore, it can be concluded that in general, PjBL assisted by E-Learning learning can improve student-learning outcomes. PjBL involves various stages that can improve student-learning outcomes. Through project assignments, students can involve all mental and physical, including social skills, by doing many things (Lee, Huh, & Reigeluth, 2015; Widyaningsih & Yusuf, 2019). PjBL was a learning approach that takes into account the mastery of concepts. Students explore, assess, interpret and synthesize information through meaningful methods (Han, Capraro, & Capraro, 2015). Through PjBL students in conducting group investigations, this will be able to enhance their collaboration (Panasan, Nuangchalerm, & Muang, 2010; Splichal, Oshima, & Oshima, 2018).

At the end of the learning, students' responses to learning have been carried out. Students can provide assessment responses online through the Google Classroom application. The results of the analysis of student responses through the Ministep application were presented in Figure 7.




Figure 7. Student response after learning

Figure 7 shows that on the right two statements were difficult for students to approve, namely S4 and S5. Each statement regarding the lack of delivery of material by the lecturer and the non-submission of references used in learning. Students find it difficult to approve the statement because they have been accustomed to learning directly by lecturers. The lecturer delivers all subject matter so that students were not trained in their ability to find and find their concepts. Through PjBL learning, students were required to study and complete their projects (Gülbahar & Tinmaz, 2006). Through the help of Google Classroom, students can easily obtain information, discuss and collect tasks directly (Al-Marroof & Al-Emran, 2018; Heggart et al., 2018). In Figure 6 the left-hand side shows that most students agree to the statement given. This shows that the learning method raises a good response for students. PjBL learning assisted by e-learning through lesson study activities encourages students' ability to be creative in completing project assignments provided through the help of information and communication technology media. The quality of learning can continue to be developed because through lesson study activities can reflect a variety of shortcomings during learning to be further improved (Saito et al., 2006).

4. Conclusion

Based on the results of the research obtained, it can be concluded that the quality of learning can be improved during the implementation of PjBL learning assisted by e-learning through lesson study activities. Students were active during learning activities, and they can complete project assignments. The results of the assessment of student learning outcomes continue to increase. At the first meeting, the average score was $67.8 \pm SD 2.4$ (good). The second meeting was the average value of $68.6 \pm SD 6.4$ (good). At the third meeting, there was an increase of $74.1 \pm SD 3.4$ (good). At the fourth meeting also experienced an increase of $75.0 \pm SD 6.3$ (good). Therefore, it can be concluded that in general, the learning method can improve students' learning outcomes. Assessment of student responses after learning also shows a good response. It is expected that the implementation of learning like this can continue to be done to improve the quality of learning.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Ristekdikti for the PKP-PBMRI (lesson study) grant that was given to the University of Papua so that this activity could be carried out well. The author wishes to thank the Dean of FKIP and the Chair of LPPM University of Papua for their help and support so that this activity can be carried out smoothly.

References

- Al-Marouf, R. A. S., & Al-Emran, M. (2018). Students acceptance of google classroom: An exploratory study using PLS-SEM approach. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 13(6), 112–123. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v13i06.8275>
- Alverson, J., Schwartz, J., Libraries, S. S.-C. & R., & 2018, undefined. (2019). Authentic Assessment of Student Learning in an Online Class: Implications for Embedded Practice. *Crl.Acrl.Org*. Retrieved from <http://crl.acrl.org/index.php/crl/article/view/16889>
- Barron, B. J. S., Schwartz, D. L., Vye, N. J., Moore, A., Petrosino, A., Zech, L., & Bransford, J. D. (1998). Doing With Understanding: Lessons From Research on Problem- and Project-Based Learning. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 7(3–4), 271–311. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508406.1998.9672056>
- Baş, G., & Beyhan, Ö. (2010). Effects of multiple intelligences supported project-based learning on students' achievement levels and attitudes towards english lesson. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 2(3), 365–385.
- Bell, S. (2010). Project-Based Learning for the 21st Century: Skills for the Future. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*, 83(2), 39–43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00098650903505415>
- Cochrane, T., Redmond, P., & Corrin, L. (2018). Technology enhanced learning , research impact , and open scholarship. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 34(3), i–viii.
- Fernandez, C., & Yoshida, M. (2004). *Lesson Study*. New York: Routledge.
- Fernandez, Clea. (2002). Learning from Japanese Approaches to Professional Development: The Case of Lesson Study. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 53(5), 393–405. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002248702237394>
- Genc, M. (2015). The project-based learning approach in environmental education. *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education*, 24(2), 105–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10382046.2014.993169>
- Gülbahar, Y., & Tinmaz, H. (2006). Implementing Project-Based Learning And E-Portfolio Assessment In an Undergraduate Course. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 38(3), 309–327. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2006.10782462>
- Han, S., Capraro, R., & Capraro, M. M. (2015). How Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (Stem) Project-Based Learning (Pbl) Affects High, Middle, and Low Achievers Differently: the Impact of Student Factors on Achievement. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 13(5), 1089–1113. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-014-9526-0>
- Heggart, K. R., Yoo, J., & Heggart, K. (2018). Getting the Most from Google Classroom: A Pedagogical Framework for Tertiary Educators. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 43(3), 140–153. <https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2018v43n3.9>
- Heo, H., Lim, K. Y., & Kim, Y. (2010). Exploratory study on the patterns of online interaction and knowledge co-construction in project-based learning. *Computers & Education*, 55(3), 1383–1392. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.06.012>

- Hooks, D., & Casarez, L. (2018). Using Universal Design in Learning for Google Classroom. In E. Langran & J. Borup (Eds.), *Proceedings of Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference 2018* (pp. 2181–2184). Washington, D.C., United States: Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE). Retrieved from <https://www.learntechlib.org/p/182826>
- Karyadi, Sinon, I. L. S., Yusuf, I., & Widyaningsih, S. W. (2018). Correlation Analysis between External Factors and Students' Physics Learning Achievement. *Scientiae Educatia: Jurnal Pendidikan Sains*, 7(1), 42–54.
- Koh, J. H. L., Herring, S. C., & Hew, K. F. (2010). Project-based learning and student knowledge construction during asynchronous online discussion. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 13(4), 284–291. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2010.09.003>
- Kurniawan, R. B., Mujasam, M., Yusuf, I., & Widyaningsih, S. W. (2019). Development of physics learning media based on Lectora Inspire Software on the elasticity and Hooke's law material in senior high school. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1157(032022), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1157/3/032022>
- Lee, D., Huh, Y., & Reigeluth, C. M. (2015). Collaboration, intragroup conflict, and social skills in project-based learning. *Instructional Science*, 43(5), 561–590. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-015-9348-7>
- Liu, X., Li, L., & Zhang, Z. (2018). Small group discussion as a key component in online assessment training for enhanced student learning in web-based peer assessment. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 43(2), 207–222. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2017.1324018>
- Panasan, M., Nuangchalerm, P., & Muang, A. (2010). Learning Outcomes of Project-Based and Inquiry-Based Learning Activities Department of Curriculum and Instruction, Faculty of Education, Mahasarakham University, Mahasarakham 44000 Thailand. *Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(2), 252–255.
- Riduwan. (2011). *Skala Pengukuran Variabel-Variabel Penelitian*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Saito, E., Hendayana, S., Imansyah, H., Ibrohim, Isamu, K., & Hideharu, T. (2006). Development of school-based in-service training under the Indonesian Mathematics and Science Teacher Education Project®. *Improving Schools*, 9(1), 47–59. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1365480206061999>
- Sarimanah, E. (2018). Developing ERIES Learning Model to Improve Students-Teacher Basic Teaching Skills Through the Implementation of Lesson Study. *International Journal of Multi Discipline Science (IJ-MDS)*, 1(1), 29–35.
- Sharan, S., Sharan, Y., & Tan, G. C. I. (2013). *The group investigation approach to cooperative learning*. C. Hmelo-Silver, C. Chinn, C. A. O'Donnell, C. Chan, C. (Eds.), *International Handbook of Collaborative Learning*.
- Skultety, L., Gonzalez, G., & Vargas, G. (2017). Using Technology to Support Teachers' Lesson Adaptations during Lesson Study. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education*, 25(2), 185–213. Retrieved from <https://www.learntechlib.org/p/172139>
- Splichal, J. M., Oshima, J., & Oshima, R. (2018). Regulation of collaboration in project-based learning mediated by CSCL scripting reflection. *Computers & Education*, 125, 132–145. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.06.003>
- Suryani, A. (2017). “I am an Old Car, My Engine is not Powerful Anymore ‘A Senior Teacher's Voice on His ICT Learning, Obstacles and Its’” Implications for Teachers' Development.” *International Journal of Pedagogy and Teacher Education (IJPTE)*, 1(2), 174–188. <https://doi.org/10.20961/ijpte.v1i2.15000>
- Widyaningsih, S. W., & Yusuf, I. (2018). Project Based Learning Model Based on Simple Teaching Tools and Critical Thinking Skills. *Kasuari: Physics Education Journal (KPEJ)*, 1(1), 12–21.
- Widyaningsih, S. W., & Yusuf, I. (2019). Influence of RMS model (reading, mind mapping, and sharing) on student learning outcomes in school laboratory course. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1157(032024), 1–6.
- Yusuf, I., & Subaer. (2013). Pengembangan perangkat pembelajaran fisika berbasis media laboratorium virtual pada materi dualisme gelombang partikel di SMA Tut Wuri Handayani Makassar. *Jurnal Pend. IPA Indonesia*, 2(2), 189–194.
- Yusuf, I., & Widyaningsih, S. W. (2019). HOTS profile of physics education students in STEM-based classes using PhET media. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1157(032021), 1–5.